arXiv:math-ph/0004020v3 24 Apr 2000

Hamiltonian formalism with several variables and quantum field theory*:
Part I

Frédéric HÉLEIN[†]
CMLA, ENS de Cachan
61,avenue du Président Wilson
94235 Cachan Cedex, France

Joseph KOUNEIHER[‡]
Université Diderot-Paris 7
case 7064
75005 Paris, France
&
CNRS-URA 2052 (C.E.A.)
C.E. Saclay 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex

April 7, 2000

Abstract

We discuss in this paper the canonical structure of classical field theory in finite dimensions within the *pataplectic* Hamiltonian formulation, where we put forward the role of Legendre correspondance. We define the Poisson $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets and ω-brackets which are the analogues of the Poisson bracket on forms. We formulate the equations of motion of forms in terms of $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets and ω-brackets with the n-form $\mathcal{H}\omega$. As illustration of our formalism we present two examples: the interacting scalar fields and conformal string theory.

---

*Keywords: Hamiltonian formalism, field theory, Legendre correspondance, pataplectic form, Cartan-Poincaré form, Poisson bracket, Interacting scalar field, conformal string theory

[†] helein@cmla.ens-cachan.fr

[‡] kouneiher@paris7.jussieu.fr

1 Introduction

A crucial step in the formulation of Hamiltonian mechanics is the construction of the Poisson bracket between a pair of physical observables. This is obtained from the natural symplectic structure on $T^\star M$ (where M is the configuration space of the physical system). In this phase space approache to classical mechanics, the dynamical evolution from an initial point $x_O \in T^\star M$ is the solution to Hamilton's first order differential equations. Geometrically, dynamical paths in phase space can be identified with the flow lines of a special vector field ξ_H on $T^\star M$ associated with the Hamiltonian fonction H. Those dynamical equations imply the time rate of change of any physical observable $f \in C^\infty(T^\star M, \mathbb{R})$, precisely through the Poisson bracket of f with H which is defined thanks to a Hamiltonian vector field ξ_f on $T^\star M$ associated with f. The association of ξ_f with f means that every observable may viewed as the generator of "infinitesimal transformation" of $T^\star M$. Thus every f generates a local one-parameter group of canonical transformations which is defined globally if ξ_f is complete. Notice however that this property depends on the topological structure of $T^\star M$ and is only true in general if the first real cohomology group $H^1(T^\star M, \mathbb{R})$ vanishes. If $H^1(T^\star M, \mathbb{R})$ is non trivial we have vector fields which are only "locally Hamiltonian[1]", and their occurence is one of the topological hazards that have to be surmounted in the quantization programme.

In the canonical approach to a standard field theory, the canonical variables are defined on space like hypersurfaces[2]. All the points on such a surface are at *equal time* and the dynamical equations specify how the canonical variables evolve from one equal time hypersurface to another, so we have an instantaneous Hamiltonian formalism on a infinite dimensional phase space[3]. More generally, let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be two differentiable manifolds. From this viewpoint a field is $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$. The set $C = \{x \in \mathcal{X} \to y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$ form a "generalized space" (the configuration sapce) on which we construct formally a cotangent bundle.

Some remraks are in order:

- The general theory of infinite dimensional nonlinear Hamiltonian systems proceeds as in the finite dimensional case. However, there are technical difficulties related to question like the differentiability of the flow. These are outgrowths of the fact that the vector fields are densely defined, since we are dealing with partial rather than ordinary differential equations

---

[1] Notice that for a large class of physically interesting systems, the dynamical vector fields are globally Hamiltonian. That is, time evolution of physically interesting systems can be generally specified simply by fixing a function H on $T^\star M$

[2] In a finite dimensional classical system, the motivation fro choosing the cotangent bundle as a mathematical model for phase space lay in the possibility of identifying elements of $T^\star M$ with initial data for the dynamical evolution. Analogously, in a field theory we would expect the state space to consist of all Cauchy data for the system under consideration and it is this requirement that should determine our choice of a mathematical model

[3] Notice that space and time are treated asymetrically, and thus we have a non covariance scheme .

- If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are any two finite dimensional C^{∞}-manifolds the simplest topology to put on $C^{r}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$, $r \prec \infty$, is the compact open topology in which all derivatives up to, and including, order r are uniformly bounded on compact susbsets of $\mathcal{X}$. The derivatives are defined using local coordinates on both $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ but the topology is independent of how these are choosen. If $\mathcal{X}$ is compact, $C^{r}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$ has the pleasant property of being a Banach manifold modelled on its tangent spaces which are the Banach spaces $C^{r}(\mathcal{X},\mathbb{R}^{n})$. This property is lost when $\mathcal{X}$ is non-compact
- The space $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y})$ may readily topologized by controlling the behavior (on compact sets) of arbitrary, but finite, orders of derivatives. However, even when $\mathcal{X}$ is compact, this is not a Banach manifold. In fact the tangent space $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{X},\mathbb{R}^{n})$ has the structure of a Fréchet space which, from the viewpoint of differential geometry, is far from ideal since (amongst other problems) the inverse function theorem is lost.

In addition to the difficulties encountered in the classical (Hamiltonian) regime when treating the field theory canonically, we have others when we quantify the theory. For exemple, the Stone-Von Neuman theorem does not apply to the infinite dimensional case, and there will be a large number of unitarily inequivalent representations of the canonical commutations relations corresponding to inequivalent choices of the measure[4] on the Hilbert space.

Motivated by similar reflexions people try to formulate a finite dimensional (canonical) field theory and which treat the space and time in equal footing (symetrically) see for instance [1], [3], [2], [4]. Further details can be found in [5, 7, 8, 9, 24, 28], and [30, 31, 29, 27, 26]. More recently, a definition of the Poisson brackets on forms and the equations of motion of forms from De Donder-Weyl point of view was given for review see [12, 13] and [10, 11, 15] a close point of view can be found in [17, 16, 20] for others discussions see [21, 22, 23]. However, we notice that in those works and contrary to the $n-1$-form case where we have a natural link between Poisson brackets and dynamics, in the case of forms of arbitrary degrees the link is not clear. Therefore, our introduction of the ω-bracket is a first attempt to resolve this difficulty, and a generalized $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket between forms of arbitrary degrees wil be given in our forthcoming paper.

In this paper we exhibit a general construction of a *universal* Hamiltonian formalism and which generalized the schemes (of a manifest covariant finite dimensional field theory) mentioned above, which explains the appelation *universal*. The main

---

[4] In a large number of physically interesting cases in which the classical configuration is not a linear vector space, the question of the mesure become very hard indeed, and leads at once to the problem of what could be the analogue of a *distribution* for such a non linear space?. For example, in the non linear σ-model it is not at all obvious what might be meant by a distribution valued analogue.

focus in this construction is on the role of Legendre correspondance, and the hypothesis concerning the generalized Legendre condition.
A motivation to study the *universal* Hamiltonian formalism is to apply it in the context of a integrable systems and to analyse the canonical structure of the physical theories, for instance general relativity and string theory with the aim to quantify those theories. So we have to gain insight into the inherent structure of this approache, in particular the appropriate generalization of the Poisson bracket.

Our paper is organized as follow. In section (2) we establish the Hamiltonian formalism: the Euler-Lagrange equations, Legendre's correspondance (and the generalized Legendre condition), Hamilton's equations, Cartan-Poincaré and pataplectic forms. In section (3) we review the usual approache to quantum field theory. In section (4) we define the Poisson $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket wich give us the dynamics of a subset of $n-1$-forms: the $n-1$-generalized positions and momenta. To define the dynamics of the generalized positions and momenta which are not part of this subset, we introduce the ω-bracket which induces the dynamics of forms of arbitrary degrees. Finally in section (5) we present two examples: the interacting scalar fields and conformal string theory.

2 Construction of the Hamiltonian formalism

In this section we show how to build a universal Hamiltonian formalism for a σ-model variational problem involving a Lagrangian functional depending on first derivatives. We derive it through a universal Legendre correspondance.

2.1 Notations

Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be two differentiable manifolds. $\mathcal{X}$ plays the role of the space-time manifold and $\mathcal{Y}$ the target manifold. We fix some volume form ω on $\mathcal{X}$, this volume form may be chosen according to the variational problem that we want to study (for instance if we look at the Klein-Gordon functional on some pseudo-Riemannian manifold, we choose ω to be the Riemannian volume), but in more general situation, with less symmetries we just choose some arbitrary volume form. We set $n = \dim\mathcal{X}$ and $k = \dim\mathcal{Y}$. We denote $\{x^1, ..., x^n\}$ local coordinates on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\{y^1, ..., y^k\}$ local coordinates on $\mathcal{Y}$. For simplicity we shall assume that the coordinates x^α are always chosen such that $dx^1 \wedge ... \wedge dx^n = \omega$, through it is not essential. Then on the product $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ we denote $\{q^1, ..., q^{n+k}\}$ local coordinates in such a way that

$$\begin{array}{ll} q^\mu = x^\mu = x^\alpha & \text{if } 1 \leq \mu = \alpha \leq n \\ q^\mu = y^{\mu-n} = y^i & \text{if } 1 \leq \mu - n = i \leq k. \end{array}$$

Generally we shall denote the indices running from 1 to n by α, β,... , the indices between 1 and k by i, j, ... and the indices between 1 and $n+k$ by μ, ν,... To any map $u : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ we may associate the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} U: & \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \\ & x & \longmapsto & (x, u(x)) \end{array}$$

whose image is the graph of u, $\{(x,u(x))/x \in \mathcal{X}\}$. We also associate to u the bundle $u^\star T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{X}$. This bundle is naturally equipped with the coordinates $(x^\alpha)_{1\leq\alpha\leq n}$ (for $\mathcal{X}$) and $(v^i_\alpha)_{1\leq i\leq k;1\leq\alpha\leq n}$, such that a point $(x,v)\in u^\star T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$ is represented by

$$v = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n\sum_{i=1}^k v^i_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\otimes dx^\alpha.$$

We can think $u^\star T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$ as a subset of $T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X} := \{(x,y,v)/(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}, v\in T_y\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star_x\mathcal{X}\}$ by the inclusion map $(x,v)\longmapsto(x,u(x),v)$.

The differential of u, du is a section of the bundle $u^\star T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{X}$. Hence the coordinates for du are simply $v^i_\alpha = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha}$. Notice that $u^\star T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$ is a kind of analog of of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{Y}$ to a configuration space $\mathcal{Y}$ in classical particle mechanics.

It turns out to be more convenient to consider $\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$ the analog of $T(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Y})$, the tangent bundle to a space-time, or rather $S\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$, the submanifold of $\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$, as the analog of the subset $ST(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Y}) := \{(t,x;\xi^0,\vec{\xi})\in T(\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{Y})/dt(\xi^0,\vec{\xi})=1\}$, which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}\times T\mathcal{Y}$ by the map $(t,x,\xi)\longmapsto(t,x,\vec{\xi})$, and where:

$$S\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}) := \{(q,z)\in\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})/z = z_1\wedge...\wedge z_n, z_1,...,z_n\in T_q(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}), \omega(z_1,...,z_n)=1\}.$$

For any $(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}$, the fiber $S\Lambda^nT_{(x,y)}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$ can be identified with $T_y\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star_x\mathcal{X}$ by the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_y\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star_x\mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & S\Lambda^nT_{(x,y)}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}) \\ v = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n\sum_{i=1}^k v^i_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\otimes dx^\alpha & \longmapsto & z = z_1\wedge...\wedge z_n, \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where for all $1\leq\beta\leq n$, $z_\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^k v^i_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$. We denote by $(z^\mu_\alpha)_{1\leq\mu\leq n+k;1\leq\alpha\leq n}$ the coordinates of z_α, so that $z_\beta = \sum_{\mu=1}^{n+k} z^\mu_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}$ (or $z^\beta_\alpha = \delta^\beta_\alpha$ for $1\leq\beta\leq n$ and $z^{\mu+i}_\alpha = v^i_\alpha$ for $1\leq i\leq k$). This induces an identification $T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}\simeq S\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$.

Thus coordinates $(x^\alpha,y^i,v^i_\alpha)$ (or equivalentely $(x^\alpha,y^i,z^\mu_\alpha)$) can be thought as coordinate on $T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$ or $S\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$.

Given a Lagrangian function $L: T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}\longmapsto\mathbb{R}$, we define the functional

$$\mathcal{L}[u] := \int_\mathcal{X} L(x,u(x),du(x))dx.$$

2.2 The Euler-Lagrange equations

The critical points of the action are the maps $u:\mathcal{X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Y}$ which are solutions of the system of Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(x,u(x),du(x))\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}(x,u(x),du(x)). \tag{2}$$

This equation implies also other equations involving the *stress-energy* tensor associated to $u : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$:

$$S^\alpha_\beta(x) := \delta^\alpha_\beta L(x,u(x),du(x)) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(x,u(x),du(x))\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\beta}(x).$$

Indeed for any u,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial S^\alpha_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) & = & \delta^\alpha_\beta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\alpha}(x,u,du) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}(x,u,du)\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\gamma}(x,u,du)\frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\gamma}(x) \right) \\ & & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(x,u,du) \right) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\beta}(x) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(x,u,du)\frac{\partial^2 u^i}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta}(x) \\ & = & \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\beta}(x,u,du) - \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(x,u(x),du(x)) \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}(x,u(x),du(x)) \right] \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\beta}(x). \end{array}$$

Thus we conclude that if u is a solution of (2), then

$$\frac{\partial S^\alpha_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\beta}(x,u,du). \tag{3}$$

It follows that if L does not depend on x, then S^α_β is divergence-free for all solutions of (2), a property which can be predicted by Noether's theorem.

2.3 The Legendre correspondance

Let $\mathcal{M} := \Lambda^n T^\star(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$. Every point $(q,p) \in \mathcal{M}$ has coordinates q^μ and $p_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}$ such that $p_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}$ is completely antisymmetric in $(\mu_1, ..., \mu_n)$ and

$$p = \sum_{\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n} p_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{\mu_n}.$$

We shall define a Legendre correspondance

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S\Lambda^n T(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \times \mathbb{R} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{M} = \Lambda^n T^\star(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \\ (q,v,w) & \longleftrightarrow & (q,p), \end{array}$$

where $w \in \mathbb{R}$ is some extra parameter (its signification is not clear for the moment, w is related to the possibility of fixing arbitrarely the value of some Hamiltonian). Notice that we do not name it a transform, like in the classical theory but a correspondance, since generally there will be many possible values of (q,p) corresponding to a single value of (q,v,w). But we expect that in generic situations, there corresponds a unique (q,v,w) to some (q,p). This correspondance is generated by the function

$$\begin{array}{rccc} W: & S\Lambda^n T(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \times \mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ & (q,v,p) & \longmapsto & \langle p, v \rangle - L(q,v), \end{array}$$

where

$$\langle p, v \rangle \simeq \langle p, z \rangle := \langle p, z_1 \wedge \dots \wedge z_n \rangle = \sum_{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n} p_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} z_1^{\mu_1} \dots z_n^{\mu_n}.$$

Definition 1 *We write that* $(q,v,w) \longleftrightarrow (q,p)$ *if and only if*

$$L(q,v) + w = \langle p, v\rangle \quad or \quad W(q,v,p) = w \tag{4}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(q,v) = \frac{\partial\langle p,v\rangle}{\partial v^i_\alpha} = \left\langle p, z_1\wedge\ldots\wedge z_{\alpha-1}\wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\wedge z_{\alpha+1}\wedge\ldots\wedge z_n\right\rangle \quad or \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(q,v,p) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Notice that for any $(q,v,w) \in S\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})\times\mathbb{R}$ there exist $(q,p)\in\mathcal{M}$ such that $(q,v,w)\longleftrightarrow(q,p)$. This will be proven in Subsection 2.6 below. But (q,p) is not unique in general. In the following we shall need to suppose that the inverse correspondance is well-defined.

Hypothesis: Generalized Legendre condition *There exists an open subset* $\mathcal{O}\subset\mathcal{M}$ *which is non empty such that for any* $(q,p)\in\mathcal{O}$ *there exists a unique* $v\in T_x\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star_y\mathcal{X}$ *(or equivalentely a unique* $z\in S\Lambda^nT_q(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$*) which is a critical point of* $v\longmapsto W(q,v,p)$*. We denote* $v=\mathcal{V}(q,p)$ *this unique solution (or* $z=\mathcal{Z}(q,p)$*). We assume further that* $\mathcal{V}$ *is a smooth function on* $\mathcal{O}$ *(or the same for* $\mathcal{Z}$*).*

We now suppose that this hypothesis is true. Then we can define on $\mathcal{O}$ the following Hamiltonian function

$$\begin{array}{rccl}\mathcal{H}: & \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}\\ & (q,p) & \longmapsto & \langle p,\mathcal{V}(q,p)\rangle - L(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p)) = W(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p).\end{array}$$

We then remark that (4) is equivalent to $w=\mathcal{H}(q,p)$.

We now compute the differential of $\mathcal{H}$. The main point is to exploit the condition

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial v^i_\alpha}\left(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p\right) = 0 \tag{6}$$

(which defines $\mathcal{V}$).

$$\begin{aligned}
d\mathcal{H} &= \sum_\mu \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^\mu}\left(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p\right)dq^\mu + \sum_{\mu,\nu}\sum_\alpha \frac{\partial W}{\partial v^\nu_\alpha}\left(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p\right)\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}^\nu_\alpha}{\partial q^\mu}dq^\mu\\
&\quad + \sum_{\nu,\alpha}\sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n}\frac{\partial W}{\partial v^\nu_\alpha}\left(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p\right)\frac{\partial\mathcal{V}^\nu_\alpha}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}}dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}\\
&\quad + \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n}\frac{\partial W}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}}\left(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p\right)dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}\\
&= \sum_\mu \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^\mu}\left(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p\right)dq^\mu + \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n}\frac{\partial W}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}}\left(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p),p\right)dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial q^\mu}(q,v,p) = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\mu}(q,v,p)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial p_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}}(q,v,p) = \begin{vmatrix} z_1^{\mu_1} & \dots & z_n^{\mu_1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ z_1^{\mu_n} & \dots & z_n^{\mu_n} \end{vmatrix},$$

we get

$$d\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{\mu}}\left(q, \mathcal{V}(q,p), p\right) dq^{\mu} + \sum_{\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n} \mathcal{Z}_{1 \dots n}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(q,p) dp_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}, \tag{7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}_{1 \dots n}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(q,p) := \begin{vmatrix} \mathcal{Z}_1^{\mu_1}(q,p) & \dots & \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mu_1}(q,p) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{Z}_1^{\mu_n}(q,p) & \dots & \mathcal{Z}_n^{\mu_n}(q,p) \end{vmatrix}$$

are the components of the n-vector

$$\mathcal{Z}_1(q,p) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p) = \sum_{\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n} \mathcal{Z}_{1 \dots n}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}(q,p) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_n}}.$$

To conclude let us see how the stress-energy tensor appears in this Hamiltonian setting. We define the Hamiltonian tensor on $\mathcal{O}$ to be $H(q,p) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H_{\beta}^{\alpha}(q,p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \otimes dx^{\beta}$, with

$$H_{\beta}^{\alpha}(q,p) := \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{\alpha}^{i}}(q, \mathcal{V}(q,p)) \mathcal{V}_{\beta}^{i}(q,p) - \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} L(q, \mathcal{V}(q,p)).$$

It is clear that if $(x, u(x), du(x), w) \longleftrightarrow (q,p)$ then

$$H_{\beta}^{\alpha}(q,p) = -S_{\beta}^{\alpha}(x).$$

Let us now compute $H_{\beta}^{\alpha}(q,p)$. We first use (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p))\mathcal{V}^i_\beta(q,p)\\
&= \sum_i \frac{\partial\langle p,v\rangle}{\partial v^i_\alpha}_{|v=\mathcal{V}(q,p)} \mathcal{V}^i_\beta(q,p)\\
&= \sum_i \left\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha-1}(q,p)\wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha+1}(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\right\rangle \mathcal{V}^i_\beta(q,p)\\
&= \sum_\mu \left\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha-1}(q,p)\wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}\wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha+1}(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\right\rangle \mathcal{Z}^\mu_\beta(q,p)\\
&\quad - \left\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha-1}(q,p)\wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha+1}(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\right\rangle\\
&= \langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha-1}(q,p)\wedge \mathcal{Z}_\beta(q,p)\wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha+1}(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\rangle\\
&\quad - \left\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha-1}(q,p)\wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha+1}(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\right\rangle\\
&= \delta^\alpha_\beta \langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\rangle\\
&\quad - \left\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha-1}(q,p)\wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha+1}(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

Hence since

$$\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\rangle = \mathcal{H}(q,p) + L(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p)),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H^\alpha_\beta(q,p) &= \delta^\alpha_\beta \mathcal{H}(q,p) - \left\langle p, \mathcal{Z}_1(q,p)\wedge \ldots \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha-1}(q,p)\wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\wedge \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha+1}(q,p)\ldots \wedge \mathcal{Z}_n(q,p)\right\rangle\\
&= \delta^\alpha_\beta \mathcal{H}(q,p) - \frac{\partial\langle p,z\rangle}{\partial z^\beta_\alpha}_{|z=\mathcal{Z}(q,p)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

2.4 Hamilton equations

Let $x \longmapsto (q(x),p(x))$ be some map from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{O}$. To insure that this map is related to a critical point $u:\mathcal{X}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Y}$, we find that the necessary and sufficient conditions split in two parts:

1) What are the conditions on $x \longmapsto (q(x),p(x))$ for the existence of a map $x\longmapsto u(x)$ such that $(x,u(x),du(x)) \longleftrightarrow (q(x),p(x))$?

The first obvious condition is $q(x) = (x,u(x)) = U(x)$. The second condition is that in $T\mathcal{Y}\otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$, $(x,y,v^i_\alpha) = (x,y,\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha})$ coincides with $(q(x),\mathcal{V}^i_\alpha(q(x),p(x)))$. If we translate that using (1), we obtain that in $S\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$,

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^n} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x^1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^n} = \mathcal{Z}_1(q(x),p(x))\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathcal{Z}_n(q(x),p(x)).$$

But we found in (7) that the components in the basis $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_1}}\wedge\ldots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_n}}\right)$ of the right hand side are $\mathcal{Z}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}_{1\ldots n}(q(x),p(x)) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}}(q(x),p(x))$. Hence denoting

$$\frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1},\dots,q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1,\dots,x^n)} := \left|\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial q^{\mu_1}}{\partial x^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial q^{\mu_1}}{\partial x^n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial q^{\mu_n}}{\partial x^1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial q^{\mu_n}}{\partial x^n} \end{array}\right|,$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^n} = \sum_{\mu_1<\dots<\mu_n}\frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1},\dots,q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1,\dots,x^n)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_1}}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_n}},$$

we obtain the condition

$$\frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1},\dots,q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1,\dots,x^n)}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}(q(x),p(x)). \tag{9}$$

2) Now what are the conditions on $x \longmapsto (q(x),p(x))$ for u to be a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations ?

It amounts to eliminate u in (2) in function of (q,p). For that purpose we use (5) to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\alpha}(x,u(x),du(x))\right) \\
&= \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left\langle p, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x^1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^n}\right\rangle \\
&= \sum_\alpha \left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x^1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^n}\right\rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha\neq\beta}\left\langle p, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x^1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^\alpha\partial x^\beta}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^n}\right\rangle \\
&= \sum_\alpha \left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial U}{\partial x^1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial U}{\partial x^n}\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we know from (7) that $\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial q^i}(q,p) = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p))$. Thus we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha \left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^1}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\wedge\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}}\wedge\dots\wedge\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^n}\right\rangle = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial q^i}(q(x),p(x)). \tag{10}$$

The latter equation may be transformed using the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_\alpha \left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^n} \right\rangle \\
&\qquad = \sum_\alpha \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n \\ \mu_\alpha = n+i}} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial q^{\mu_1}}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial q^{\mu_1}}{\partial x^n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial q^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial q^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}}{\partial x^n} \\ \frac{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}{\partial x^n} \\ \frac{\partial q^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial q^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}}{\partial x^n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial q^{\mu_n}}{\partial x^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial q^{\mu_n}}{\partial x^n} \end{vmatrix} \\
&\qquad = \sum_\alpha \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n \\ \mu_\alpha = n+i}} \frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1}, \dots, q^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}, p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}, q^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}, \dots, q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^n)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We summarize: the necessary and sufficient conditions we were looking for are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1}, \dots, q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^n)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}(q,p) \\
\sum_\alpha \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 < \dots < \mu_n \\ \mu_\alpha = n+i}} \frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1}, \dots, q^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}, p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}, q^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}, \dots, q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1, \dots, x^n)} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y^i}(q,p).
\end{gathered}
\tag{11}
$$

Some further relations

Besides these equations, we have to remark also that equation (3) on the stress-energy tensor has a counterpart in this formalism. For that purpose we use equation (8). Assuming that $(x, u(x), du(x)) \longleftrightarrow (q(x), p(x))$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\partial S^\alpha_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) &= \frac{\partial H^\alpha_\beta(q(x), p(x))}{\partial x^\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(q(x), p(x))}{\partial x^\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left\langle p(x), \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} \wedge \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^n} \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(q(x), p(x))}{\partial x^\beta} - \left\langle \frac{\partial p(x)}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} \wedge \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q(x)}{\partial x^n} \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now assume that u is a critical point, then because of (3) and (7),

$$
\frac{\partial S^\alpha_\beta}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\beta}(x, u(x), du(x)) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\beta}(q(x), p(x)).
$$

And we obtain

$$
\left\langle \frac{\partial p}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^n} \right\rangle - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\left(\mathcal{H}(q,p)\right) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\beta}(q,p)
$$

or equivalentely

$$\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 < \ldots < \mu_n \\ \mu_\alpha = \beta}} \frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1}, \ldots, q^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}, p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}, q^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}, \ldots, q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1, \ldots, x^n)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\left(\mathcal{H}(q,p)\right) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\beta}(q,p). \tag{12}$$

Conclusion The Hamilton equations (11) can be completed by adding (12) (which are actually a consequence of (11)). We thus obtain

$$\frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1}, \ldots, q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1, \ldots, x^n)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}}(q,p)$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 < \ldots < \mu_n \\ \mu_\alpha = \nu}} \frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1}, \ldots, q^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}, p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}, q^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}, \ldots, q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(x^1, \ldots, x^n)} - \sum_{\alpha} \delta^\alpha_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\mathcal{H}(q,p)\right) = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^\nu}(q,p). \tag{13}$$

2.5 The Cartan-Poincaré and pataplectic forms on $\mathcal{M} = \Lambda^n T^\star(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$

Motivated by the previous contruction, we define the Cartan-Poincaré form on $\Lambda^n T^\star(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ to be

$$\theta := \sum_{\mu_1 < \ldots < \mu_n} p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_n}.$$

Its differential is

$$\Omega := \sum_{\mu_1 < \ldots < \mu_n} dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} \wedge dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_n},$$

which we will call the *pataplectic form*, a straightforward generalization of the symplectic form.

A first property is that we can express the system of Hamilton's equations (13) in an elegant way using Ω. For any $(q,p) \in \mathcal{M}$ and any n-vector $X \in \Lambda^n T_{(q,p)}\mathcal{M}$ we define $X \,\lrcorner\, \Omega \in T^\star_{(q,p)}\mathcal{M}$ as follows. If X is decomposable, i. e. if there exist n vectors $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in T_{(q,p)}\mathcal{M}$ such that $X = X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_n$, we let

$$X \,\lrcorner\, \Omega(V) := \Omega(X_1, \ldots, X_n, V), \quad \forall V \in T_{(q,p)}\mathcal{M}.$$

We extend this definition to non decomposable X by linearity. Let us analyse $X \,\lrcorner\, \Omega$ using coordinates. Writing X as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} X^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}\partial_{\mu_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\partial_{\mu_n} \\ + & \sum_{\substack{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_{\alpha-1}<\mu_{\alpha+1}<\ldots<\mu_n \\ \nu_1<\ldots<\nu_n}} X^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{\alpha-1}}{}_{\{\nu_1\ldots\nu_n\}}{}^{\mu_{\alpha+1}\cdots\mu_n}\partial_{\mu_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\partial_{\mu_{\alpha-1}}\wedge\partial^{\nu_1\ldots\nu_n}\wedge\partial_{\mu_{\alpha+1}}\wedge\ldots\wedge\partial_{\mu} \\ + & \quad \text{etc } \ldots \end{aligned}$$

with the notations $\partial_\mu := \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}$, $\partial^{\nu_1\ldots\nu_n} := \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_n}}$, we have

$$X \rfloor \Omega = (-1)^n \left[\sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} X^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n} dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} - \sum_\nu \sum_\alpha \sum_{\substack{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n \\ \mu_\alpha=\nu}} X^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{\alpha-1}}{}_{\{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n\}}{}^{\mu_{\alpha+1}\cdots\mu_n} dq^\nu \right].$$

Algebraic similarities with (13) are evident if we replace X by $\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(x^1,\ldots,x^n)} := \frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial x^1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial x^n}$. In particular we can see easily that the coefficients of dy^i and $dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}$ in $(-1)^n\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(x^1,\ldots,x^n)}\rfloor\Omega$ and $d\mathcal{H}$ coincide if and only if the Hamilton system (11) holds. Thus we are led to define $\mathcal{I}$ to be the algebraic ideal in $\Lambda^\star\mathcal{M}$ spanned by $\{dx^1,\ldots,dx^n\}$ and hence (11) is equivalent to

$$(-1)^n\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(x^1,\ldots,x^n)}\rfloor\Omega = d\mathcal{H} \mod \mathcal{I}. \tag{14}$$

Definition 2 *A n-vector $X\in\Lambda^nT_{(q,p)}\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{H}$-Hamiltonian if and only if*

$$(-1)^n X\rfloor\Omega = d\mathcal{H} \quad mod\ \mathcal{I}. \tag{15}$$

For such an X, it is possible to precise the relation between the left and right hand sides of (15) in the case where X is decomposable, i. e. $X = X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_n$. Notice that (15) implies in particular $X^{1\ldots n} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\epsilon} = 1$ (where $\epsilon := p_{1\ldots n}$ see (13)), which is equivalent to $\omega(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = 1$. Hence we may always assume without loss of generality that the X_α are chosen so that $dx^\beta(X_\alpha) = \delta^\beta_\alpha$. Such vectors are unique.

Lemma 1 *Let $X = X_1\wedge\ldots\wedge X_n\in\Lambda^nT_{(q,p)}\mathcal{M}$ such that $dx^\beta(X_\alpha) = \delta^\beta_\alpha$. Assume that X is $\mathcal{H}$-Hamiltonian, then*

$$(-1)^n X\rfloor\Omega = d\mathcal{H} - \sum_\alpha d\mathcal{H}(X_\alpha)dx^\alpha. \tag{16}$$

Proof Since for any α, β, $dx^\beta\left(X_\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right) = 0$, equation (15) implies that for all α,

$$(-1)^n X\rfloor\Omega\left(X_\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right) = d\mathcal{H}\left(X_\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow (-1)^n\Omega\left(X_1,\dots,X_n,X_\alpha-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)=d\mathcal{H}(X_\alpha)-\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow (-1)^n X\,\lrcorner\,\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)=\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\alpha}-d\mathcal{H}(X_\alpha).$$

This implies

$$(-1)^n\sum_\alpha X\,\lrcorner\,\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)dx^\alpha=\sum_\alpha\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\alpha}dx^\alpha-\sum_\alpha d\mathcal{H}(X_\alpha)dx^\alpha. \tag{17}$$

Now if we rewrite (15) as

$$(-1)^n\left(\sum_i X\,\lrcorner\,\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\right)dy^i+\sum_{\mu_1<\dots<\mu_n}X\,\lrcorner\,\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}\right)dp_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}\right)=$$

$$\sum_i\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial y^i}dy^i+\sum_{\mu_1<\dots<\mu_n}\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}dp_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n},$$

and sum with (17), we obtain exactly (16). ■

As a Corollary of this result we deduce that a reformulation of (14) is

$$(-1)^n\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(x^1,\dots,x^n)}\,\lrcorner\,\Omega=d\mathcal{H}-\sum_\alpha d\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)dx^\alpha. \tag{18}$$

It is an exercise to check that actually this relation is a direct translation of (13).

2.6 A variational formulation of (13)

We shall now prove that equations (13) are the Euler-Lagrange equations of some simple functional. For that purpose, let Γ be an oriented submanifold of dimension n in $\Lambda^nT^\star(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$ such that $\omega_{|\Gamma}>0$ everywhere. Then we define the functional

$$\mathcal{A}[\Gamma]:=\int_\Gamma\theta-\lambda\mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega.$$

Here λ is a (real) scalar function defined over Γ which plays the role of a Lagrange multiplier. We now characterise submanifolds Γ which are critical points of $\mathcal{A}$.

Variations with respect to p
Let δp be some infinitesimal variation of Γ with compact support. We compute

$$\delta\mathcal{A}_\Gamma(\delta p)=\int_\Gamma\delta p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}\left(dq^{\mu_1}\wedge\dots\wedge dq^{\mu_n}-\lambda\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}\omega\right).$$

Assuming that this vanishes for all δp, we obtain

$$(dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_n})_{|\Gamma} = \lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}} \omega_{|\Gamma}.$$

This relation means that for any orientation preserving parametrization $(t^1, \ldots, t^n) \longmapsto (q,p)(t^1, \ldots, t^n)$ of Γ,

$$\frac{\partial(q^{\mu_1}, \ldots, q^{\mu_n})}{\partial(t^1, \ldots, t^n)} = \lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n}} \omega \left(\frac{\partial q^{\mu_1}}{\partial t^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial q^{\mu_n}}{\partial t^n} \right).$$

But we remark that because $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{1 \ldots n}} = 1$, the above relation for $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) = (1, \ldots, n)$ forces $\lambda = 1$. Hence

$$\mathcal{A}[\Gamma] = \int_\Gamma \theta - \mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega.$$

Moreover the equation obtained here can be written using the natural parametrization $(x^1, \ldots, x^n) \longmapsto (x, u(x), p(x))$ (for which $\omega \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right) = 1$) and then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial x^1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^n} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}(q,p),$$

i. e. exactly equation (9) [5].

Variations with respect to q

Now δq is some infinitesimal variation of Γ with compact support. And we have

$$\delta \mathcal{A}_\Gamma(\delta q) = \int_\Gamma \sum_{\mu_1 < \ldots < \mu_n} \sum_\alpha p_{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_n} dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d(\delta q^{\mu_\alpha}) \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_n} - \sum_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q^\mu} \delta q^\mu \omega - \mathcal{H}(q,p) \delta\omega.$$

We pay special attention to $\delta\omega$:

$$\delta\omega = d(\delta x^1) \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^n + \ldots + dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d(\delta x^n).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Gamma \mathcal{H}(q,p)\delta\omega &= -\int_\Gamma \delta x^1 \left(d(\mathcal{H}(q,p)) \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^n \right) + \ldots + \delta x^n \left(dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge d(\mathcal{H}(q,p)) \right) \\ &= -\sum_\alpha \delta x^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left(\mathcal{H}(q,p) \right) \omega. \end{aligned}$$

---

[5] Note that this relation actually implies $\mathcal{A}[\Gamma] = \int_\mathcal{X} L(x,q,dq)\omega$. Hence, as in the one-dimensional Hamilton formalism, $\theta - \mathcal{H}\omega$ plays the role of the Lagrangian density.

Thus after integrations by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{A}_\Gamma(\delta q) &= \int_\Gamma -\sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n}\sum_\alpha \delta q^{\mu_\alpha} dq^{\mu_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge dq^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}\wedge dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}\wedge dq^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}\wedge\ldots\wedge dq^{\mu_n}\\ &\quad -\sum_\mu \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial q^\mu}\delta q^\mu\omega + \sum_\alpha \delta x^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\mathcal{H}(q,p)\right)\omega.\end{aligned}$$

And this vanishes if and only if

$$\sum_\alpha \sum_{\substack{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n\\ \mu_\alpha=\nu}} dq^{\mu_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge dq^{\mu_{\alpha-1}}\wedge dp_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}\wedge dq^{\mu_{\alpha+1}}\wedge\ldots\wedge dq^{\mu_n} - \sum_\alpha \delta^\alpha_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\mathcal{H}(q,p)\right)\omega = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial q^\nu}\omega.$$

Again by choosing the parametrization $(x^1,\ldots,x^n)\longmapsto(x,u(x),p(x))$, this relation is easily seen to be equivalent to (10) and (12).

By the same token we have proven that if we look to critical points of the functional $\int_\Gamma\theta$ with the constraint $\mathcal{H}(q,p)=h$, for some constant h, then the Lagrange multiplier is 1 and they satisfy the same equations.

Theorem 1 *Let Γ be an oriented submanifold of dimension n in $\Lambda^nT^\star(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$ such that $\Omega_{|\Gamma}>0$ everywhere. Then the three following assertions are equivalent*

- *Γ is the graph of a solution of the generalized Hamilton equations (13)*
- *Γ is a critical point of the functional $\int_\Gamma\theta-\mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega$*
- *Γ is a critical point of the functional $\int_\Gamma\theta$ under the constraint that $\mathcal{H}(q,p)$ is constant.*

2.7 Some particular cases

By restricting the variables (q,p) to lie in some submanifold of $\mathcal{M}=\Lambda^nT^\star(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$, the Legendre correspondance becomes in some situations a true map.

a) We assume that all components $p_{\mu_1,\ldots\mu_n}$ vanishes excepted for

$$p_{1\ldots n} =: \epsilon \quad\text{and}\quad p_{1\ldots(\alpha-1)(n+i)(\alpha+1)\ldots n} =: p_i^\alpha$$

and all obvious permutations in the indices. This defines a submanifold $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Weyl}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. It means that

$$\theta_{|\mathcal{M}_{\text{Weyl}}} = \epsilon\, dx^1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx^n + \sum_\alpha\sum_i p_i^\alpha dx^1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx^{\alpha-1}\wedge dy^i\wedge dx^{\alpha+1}\wedge\ldots\wedge dx^n.$$

Then for any $(q,p)\in\mathcal{M}_{\text{Weyl}}$, $\langle p, z_1\wedge\ldots\wedge z_n\rangle = \epsilon + \sum_\alpha\sum_i p_i^\alpha v_\alpha^i$, $W(q,v,p) = \epsilon + \sum_\alpha\sum_i p_i^\alpha v_\alpha^i - L(q,v)$. Hence the relation (5) $\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_\alpha^i}(q,v) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$p_i^\alpha = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i}(q,v) \Longleftrightarrow v_\alpha^i = \mathcal{V}_\alpha^i(q,p).$$

The relation (4) $W(q,v,p) = w$ gives

$$\epsilon = w + L(q,v) - \sum_\alpha\sum_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha^i}(q,v)v_\alpha^i.$$

Last we have that $\mathcal{H}(q,p) = \epsilon + \sum_\alpha\sum_i p_i^\alpha \mathcal{V}_\alpha^i(q,p) - L(q,\mathcal{V}(q,p))$.

This example shows that for any $(q,v,w)\in S\Lambda^nT(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})\times\mathbb{R}$, there exist $(q,p)\in\mathcal{M}$ such that $(q,v,w)\longleftrightarrow(q,p)$ and this (q,p) is unique if it is chosen in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Weyl}}$.

To summarize, we recover the Weyl theory (see [4, 28]). As an exercize, the reader can check that in this situation, equations (11) are equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i^\alpha}, \quad \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial p_i^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y^i}. \tag{19}$$

b) We assume that (q,p) are such that there exist coefficients $\left(\pi_\mu^\alpha\right)_{\alpha,\mu}$ with

$$p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} = \left|\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_{\mu_1}^1 & \ldots & \pi_{\mu_n}^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \pi_{\mu_1}^n & \ldots & \pi_{\mu_n}^n \end{array}\right|.$$

This constraint defines a submanifold $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Carathéodory}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Then

$$\theta_{|\mathcal{M}_{\text{Carathéodory}}} = \left(\sum_{\mu_1}\pi_{\mu_1}^1 dq^{\mu_1}\right)\wedge\ldots\wedge\left(\sum_{\mu_n}\pi_{\mu_n}^1 dq^{\mu_n}\right).$$

Then it is an exercise to see that, by choosing $w = 0$, it leads to the formalism developped in [4] and [28] associated to the Carathéodory theory of equivalent integrals. However it is not clear in general whether it is possible to perform the Legendre transform in this setting by being able to fix arbitrarirely the value of w. It is so if we do not impose a condition on w.

3 Comparison with the usual Hamiltonian formalism for quantum fields theory

3.1 Reminder of the usual approach to quantum field theory

Here we compare the preceeding construction with the classical approach to quantum field theory by so-called canonical quantization. We shall first explore it in the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $y=\phi$ is a real scalar field. Hence $\mathcal{Y}=\mathbb{R}$. Our functional is

$$\mathcal{L}[\phi]:=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}L(x,\phi,d\phi)dx.$$

For simplicity, we may keep in mind the following example of Lagrangian:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}L(x,\phi,d\phi)dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^0}\right)^2-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)^2-V(\phi)\right)dx^0d\vec{x},$$

where we denote $\vec{x}=(x^\alpha)_{1\leq\alpha\leq n-1}$. We shall also denote $t=x^0$.

The usual approach consists in selecting a global time coordinate t as we already implicitely assumed here. Then for each time the instantaneous state of the field is seen as a point in the infinite dimensional "manifold" $\mathfrak{F}:=\{\Phi:\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}\}$. Hence we view the field ϕ rather as a path

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}\\ t & \longmapsto & [\vec{x}\longmapsto\phi(t,\vec{x})=\Phi^{\vec{x}}(t)].\end{array}$$

We thus recover the problem of studying the dynamics of a point moving in a configuration space $\mathfrak{F}$. The prices to pay are 1) $\mathfrak{F}$ is infinite dimensional 2) we lose relativistic invariance.

In this viewpoint, $\mathcal{L}[\phi]=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{L}[t,\Phi(t),\frac{d\Phi}{dt}(t)]dt$, where $\Phi(t)=[\vec{x}\longmapsto\phi(t,\vec{x})]\in\mathfrak{F}$, $\frac{d\Phi}{dt}(t)=[\vec{x}\longmapsto\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}(t,\vec{x})]\in T_{\Phi(t)}\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{L}[t,\Phi(t),\frac{d\Phi}{dt}(t)]=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}L(x,\phi(x),d\phi(x))d\vec{x}$.

Then we consider the "symplectic" manifold which is formally $T^\star\mathfrak{F}$, i. e. we introduce the dual variable

$$\Pi:=\frac{\partial\mathfrak{L}}{\partial\frac{d\Phi}{dt}},$$

or equivalentely $\Pi(t)=[\vec{x}\longmapsto\pi(t,\vec{x})=\Pi_{\vec{x}}(t)]$ with

$$\Pi_{\vec{x}}(t)=\frac{\partial\mathfrak{L}}{\partial\frac{d\Phi^{\vec{x}}}{dt}}[t,\Phi(t),\frac{d\Phi}{dt}(t)]\quad\Longleftrightarrow\quad\pi(t,\vec{x})=\frac{\delta\mathfrak{L}}{\delta\frac{\partial\phi(t,\vec{x})}{\partial t}}[t,\Phi(t),\frac{d\Phi}{dt}(t)]=\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_0}(x,\phi(x),d\phi(x)).$$

Here $\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(\vec{x})}$ is the Fréchet derivative. In our example

$$\Pi_{\vec{x}}(t) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t, \vec{x}).$$

We define the Hamiltonian functional to be

$$\mathfrak{H}[\Phi, \Pi] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Pi_{\vec{x}} \dot{\Phi}^{\vec{x}} d\vec{x} - \mathfrak{L}[t, \Phi, \frac{d\Phi}{dt}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi(\vec{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi(\vec{x})|^2 + V(\phi(\vec{x})) \right) d\vec{x}.$$

Now we can write the equations of motion as

$$\begin{array}{rcccl} \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t}(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{d\Pi_{\vec{x}}}{dt} & = & -\frac{\partial \mathfrak{H}}{\partial \Phi^{\vec{x}}}(\Phi, \Pi) & = & \Delta\phi - V'(\phi) \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{d\Phi^{\vec{x}}}{dt} & = & \frac{\partial \mathfrak{H}}{\partial \Pi^{\vec{x}}}(\Phi, \Pi) & = & \pi(t, \vec{x}). \end{array}$$

A Poisson bracket can be defined on the set of functionals $\{A : T^\star\mathfrak{F} \longmapsto \mathbb{R}\}$ by

$$\{A, B\} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\delta A}{\delta \pi(\vec{x})} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \phi(\vec{x})} - \frac{\delta A}{\delta \phi(\vec{x})} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \pi(\vec{x})} \right) d\vec{x},$$

where $\frac{\delta A}{\delta \phi(\vec{x})}$ is the Fréchet derivative with respect to $\phi(\vec{x})$, i. e. the distribution such that for any smooth compactly supported deformation $\delta\phi$ of ϕ,

$$dA_\phi[\delta\phi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \delta\phi(\vec{x}) \frac{\delta A}{\delta \phi(\vec{x})} d\vec{x}.$$

And we may formulate the dynamical equations using the Poisson bracket as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{d\Pi_{\vec{x}}}{dt} & = & \{\mathfrak{H}, \Pi_{\vec{x}}\} \\ \frac{d\Phi^{\vec{x}}}{dt} & = & \{\mathfrak{H}, \Phi^{\vec{x}}\}, \end{array}$$

with

$$\{\Phi^{\vec{x}}, \Phi^{\vec{x}'}\} = \{\Pi_{\vec{x}}, \Pi_{\vec{x}'}\} = 0, \quad \{\Pi_{\vec{x}}, \Phi^{\vec{x}'}\} = \delta_{\vec{x}}^{\vec{x}'} = \delta^{n-1}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}').$$

This singular Poisson bracket means that for any test functions $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g(\vec{x}) \Pi_{\vec{x}} d\vec{x}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(\vec{x}') \Phi^{\vec{x}'} d\vec{x}' \right\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(\vec{x}) g(\vec{x}) d\vec{x}.$$

This implies in particular

$$\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g(\vec{x}) \Pi_{\vec{x}} d\vec{x}, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(\vec{x}') V(\Phi^{\vec{x}'}) d\vec{x}' \right\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} V'(\Phi^{\vec{x}}) f(\vec{x}) g(\vec{x}) d\vec{x},$$

because of the derivation property of the Poisson bracket.

3.2 Translation in pataplectic geometry

We first adapt and modify our notations: the coordinates on $\mathcal{M} = \Lambda^n T^\star(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})$ are now written $(q^\mu, p_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}) = (x^\alpha, y, \epsilon, p^\alpha)$ where $0 \leq \alpha \leq n-1$, $q^0 = x^0 = t$, $(x^\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1} = \vec{x}$, $q^n = y$ and

$$\epsilon := p_{0\dots(n-1)} \quad p^\alpha := p_{0\dots(\alpha-1)n(\alpha+1)\dots(n-1)}.$$

Hence

$$\theta = \epsilon\, dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-1} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha-1} \wedge dy \wedge dx^{\alpha+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-1},$$

or letting $\omega := dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-1}$ and $\omega_\alpha := (-1)^\alpha dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\alpha-1} \wedge dx^{\alpha+1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{n-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner\, \omega$,

$$\theta = \epsilon\, \omega + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha dy \wedge \omega_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega = d\epsilon \wedge \omega + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} dp^\alpha \wedge dy \wedge \omega_\alpha.$$

Thus we see that in the present case the pataplectic formalism reduces essentially to the Weyl formalism, because the fields are one dimensional.

Let us consider some field ϕ, a map [6] $w : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and p such that $(x, \phi(x), d\phi(x)) \leftrightarrow (x, \phi(x), p(x))$. This means that we are forced to have

$$p^\alpha = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_\alpha}(x, \phi(x), d\phi(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = w + L(x, \phi(x), d\phi(x)) - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha}(x).$$

We let $\Gamma := \{(x, \phi(x), p(x))/x \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and we consider the instantaneous slices $S_t := \Gamma \cap \{x^0 = t\}$. These slices are oriented by the condition $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lrcorner\, \omega_{|S_t} > 0$. Then we can express the observables

$$\Phi^f(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(\vec{x}) \Phi^{\vec{x}}(t) d\vec{x}, \quad \Pi_g(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} g(\vec{x}) \Pi_{\vec{x}}(t) d\vec{x}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{H}[\Phi(t), \Pi(t)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\pi(t, \vec{x}) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t, \vec{x}) - L(t, \vec{x}, \phi(x), d\phi(x)) \right) d\vec{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} H_0^0(t, \vec{x}, \phi) \omega_0$$

as integrals of $(n-1)$-forms on S_t. First

---

[6] In most applications it will be more suitable to assume $w = 0$, but it is useful here to keep w arbitrary for the moment.

$$\Phi^f(t) = \int_{S_t} f(\vec{x})\phi(t,\vec{x})dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{n-1} = \int_{S_t} Q^f, \quad \text{with } Q^f := f(\vec{x})\; y\; \omega_0.$$

$$\Pi_g(t) = \int_{S_t} g(\vec{x})\pi(t,\vec{x})dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{n-1} = \int_{S_t} P_g, \quad \text{with } P_g := g(\vec{x}) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \omega_\alpha,$$

because $\pi(t,\vec{x}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v_0}(x, \phi(x), d\phi(x)) = p^0$ and $\omega_{\alpha|S_t} = 0$ if $\alpha \geq 1$

And last

$$\mathfrak{H}[\Phi(t), \Pi(t)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega_0 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \epsilon\omega_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} p^\alpha dy \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner\, \omega_0\right) = \int_{S_t} \eta_0,$$

where

$$\eta_0 := \mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega_0 - \left(\epsilon\omega_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} p^\alpha dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\alpha-1} \wedge dy \wedge dx^{\alpha+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{n-1}\right),$$

because $H_0^0(x,\phi) = \mathcal{H}(q,p) - \langle p, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge z_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge z_{n-1}\rangle = \mathcal{H}(q,p) - \big(\epsilon + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\big)$.

We remark [7] that

$$\eta_0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lrcorner\, (\theta - \mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega).$$

3.3 Recovering the usual Poisson brackets as a local expression

Our aim is now to express the various Poisson brackets involving the quantities $\Phi^f(t)$ and $\Pi_g(t)$ along Γ using some analogue of the Poisson bracket defined on $(n-1)$-forms. We generalize slightly the definition of Q^f to be

$$Q^f = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha(x)\; y\; \omega_\alpha, \tag{20}$$

where $f := \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$ is some vector field. Hence our observables become

$$\Phi^f(t) = \int_{S_t} Q^f \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_g(t) = \int_{S_t} P_g, \tag{21}$$

---

[7] we observe also that $P_g = g(\vec{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner\, \theta = g(\vec{x})\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner\, (\theta - \mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega)$.

where $P_g := g(x) \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \omega_\alpha$ as before [8]. We shall see here that we can define a bracket operation $\{.,.\}$ between Q^f, P_g and η_0 such that the usual Poisson bracket of fields actually derives from $\{.,.\}$ by

$$\int_{S_t} \{P_g, Q^f\} = \left\{ \int_{S_t} P_g, \int_{S_t} Q^f \right\}, \text{ etc}\dots \tag{22}$$

First we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} dQ^f &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \, dy \wedge \omega_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} y \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \omega = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\alpha} \lrcorner \Omega + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} y \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \lrcorner \Omega \\ &= -\xi_{Q^f} \lrcorner \Omega \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dP_g &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \omega + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} g dp^\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \lrcorner \Omega - g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \Omega \\ &= -\xi_{P_g} \lrcorner \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\xi_{Q^f} := -\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\alpha} - y \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\xi_{P_g} := g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}. \tag{24}$$

Also notice that

$$d\eta_0 = (d\mathcal{H} - d\epsilon) \wedge \omega_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dp^\alpha \wedge dy \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner \omega_0 \right).$$

Definition 3 *We define the Poisson $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets of these $(n-1)$-forms to be*

$$\{\eta_0, Q^f\} := -\xi_{Q^f} \lrcorner d\eta_0, \quad \{\eta_0, P_g\} := -\xi_{P_g} \lrcorner d\eta_0,$$

$$\{P_g, Q^f\} := -\xi_{Q^f} \lrcorner dP_g = \xi_{P_g} \lrcorner dQ^f = \xi_{Q^f} \lrcorner (\xi_{P_g} \lrcorner \Omega)$$

and

$$\{Q^f, Q^{f'}\} := \xi_{Q^{f'}} \lrcorner (\xi_{Q^f} \lrcorner \Omega), \quad \{P_g, P_{g'}\} := \xi_{P_{g'}} \lrcorner (\xi_{P_g} \lrcorner \Omega).$$

---

[8] notice that actually $\int_{S_t} Q^f = \int_{S_t} f^0(x)\, y\, \omega_0$.

Let us now compute these $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets. We use in particular the fact that $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \epsilon} = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\eta_0, Q^f\} &= \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p^\alpha} + y \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \lrcorner \left((d\mathcal{H} - d\epsilon) \wedge \omega_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dp^\alpha \wedge dy \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner \omega_0 \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha} \omega_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} f^\alpha dy \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner \omega_0 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\eta_0, P_g\} &= \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} - g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \lrcorner \left((d\mathcal{H} - d\epsilon) \wedge \omega_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dp^\alpha \wedge dy \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner \omega_0 \right) \right) \\
&= -g \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y} \omega_0 - g \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} dp^\alpha \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner \omega_0 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\{P_g, Q^f\} &= \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\alpha} + y \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \right) \lrcorner \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \omega - g \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \lrcorner \Omega \right) \\
&= g \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \omega_\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\{Q^f, Q^{f'}\} = \{P_g, P_{g'}\} = 0$. We now integrate the $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets on a constant time slice $S_t \subset \Gamma$. We immediately see that

$$\int_{S_t} \{P_g, Q^f\} = \int_{S_t} g\ f^0 \omega_0 = \{\pi_g(t), \Phi^f(t)\} = \left\{ \int_{S_t} P_g, \int_{S_t} Q^f \right\}$$

and we recover (22). Second,

$$\int_{S_t} \{\eta_0, Q^f\} = \int_{S_t} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha} \omega_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \omega_0.$$

Third,

$$\int_{S_t} \{\eta_0, P_g\} = \int_{S_t} -g \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y} \omega_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} g \frac{\partial p^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \omega_0.$$

Now let us assume that Γ is the graph of a solution of the Hamilton equations (11) or (19). Since then $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha}$ along Γ,

$$\int_{S_t} \{\eta_0, Q^f\} = \int_{S_t} f^0 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \omega_0,$$

and because of $-\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial p^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial p^0}{\partial t}$,

$$\int_{S_t}\{\eta_0, P_g\} = \int_{S_t} g\frac{\partial p^0}{\partial t}\omega_0.$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{S_t} Q^f = \frac{d}{dt}\Phi^f(t) = \int_{S_t} f^0\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\omega_0 + \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial t}\phi\omega_0 = \int_{S_t}\{\eta_0, Q^f\} + \Phi^{\partial f/\partial t}(t)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{S_t} P_g = \frac{d}{dt}\Pi_g(t) = \int_{S_t} g\frac{\partial p^0}{\partial t}\omega_0 + \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}p^0\omega_0 = \int_{S_t}\{\eta_0, P_g\} + \Pi_{\partial g/\partial t}(t).$$

This has to be compared with the usual canonical equations for fields:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{S_t} Q^f = \left\{\int_{S_t}\eta_0, \int_{S_t} Q^f\right\} + \Phi^{\partial f/\partial t}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt}\int_{S_t} P_g = \left\{\int_{S_t}\eta_0, \int_{S_t} P_g\right\} + \Pi_{\partial g/\partial t}(t).$$

3.4 An alternative dynamical formulation using $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets

We can also define the $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket of a n-form with forms Q^f or P_g as given by (20) and (21). If ψ is such a n-form,

$$\{\psi, Q^f\} := -\xi_{Q^f} \lrcorner\, d\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \{\psi, P_g\} := -\xi_{P_g} \lrcorner\, d\psi,$$

where (23) and (24) have been used. For instance, let us apply this definition to the n-form $\eta := \mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega - \theta$. We compute that

$$\{\eta, Q^f\} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1}\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha}f^\alpha\omega - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha dy \wedge \omega_\alpha$$

and

$$\{\eta, P_g\} = -g\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y}\omega - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} g\; dp^\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha.$$

Now we integrate these $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets on $\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2} := \{(q,p) \in \Gamma / t_1 < t < t_2\}$ and we still assume that Γ is the graph of a solution of the Hamilton equations (19): using these equations we find that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}}\{\eta, Q^f\} = \int_{\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}}\{\eta, P_g\} = 0.$$

On the other hand, we may compute also

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, Q^f\} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha}\omega + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} y \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\omega,$$

and integrating by parts on $\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} \{\mathcal{H}\omega, Q^f\} &= \int_{\partial\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} \phi \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \omega_\alpha + \int_{\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} f^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)\omega \\ &= \int_{\partial\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} Q^f = \int_{S_{t_2}} Q^f - \int_{S_{t_1}} Q^f.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we find that

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_g\} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} p^\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha}\omega - g\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y}\omega,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} \{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_g\} &= \int_{\partial\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} g p^\alpha \omega_\alpha - \int_{\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} g \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y} + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial p^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)\omega \\ &= \int_{\partial\Gamma_{t_1}^{t_2}} P_g = \int_{S_{t_2}} P_g - \int_{S_{t_1}} P_g.\end{aligned}$$

We are tempted to conclude that

$$\mathbf{d}Q^f = \{\mathcal{H}\omega, Q^f\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d}P_g = \{\mathcal{H}\omega, P\},$$

where $\mathbf{d}$ is the differential along a graph Γ of a solution of the Hamilton equations (11). This precisely will be proven in the next section.

4 Poisson $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets on $(n-1)$-forms and more

We have seen on some example that the Poisson bracket algebra of the classical field theory can actually be derived from brackets on $(n-1)$-forms which are integrated on constant time slices. Actually these constructions can be generalized in several ways.

4.1 Internal and external $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets

We turn back to $\mathcal{M} = \Lambda^n T^\star(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y})$ and to the notation of the previous Section. Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \Lambda^{n-1}T^\star\mathcal{M})$ be the set of smooth $(n-1)$-forms on $\mathcal{M}$. We consider the subset $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ of $\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\Lambda^{n-1}T^\star\mathcal{M})$ of forms a such that there exists a vector field $\xi_a=\Xi(a)$ which satisfies the property

$$da=-\xi_a \lrcorner \Omega.$$

Obviously $\Xi(a)$ depends only on a modulo closed forms and the map $a\longmapsto \Xi(a)$ from $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ to the set of vector fields induces a map on the quotient $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}/C^{n-1}(\mathcal{M})$, where $C^{n-1}(\mathcal{M})$ is the set of closed $(n-1)$-forms. A property of vector fields $\Xi(a)$ is that there are infinitesimal symmetries of Ω, for

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi(a)}\Omega = d\left(\Xi(a)\lrcorner\Omega\right)+\Xi(a)\lrcorner d\Omega=-d\circ da=0.$$

We shall denote $\mathfrak{pp}\mathcal{M}$ the set of pataplectic vector fields, i.e. vector fields X such that $X\lrcorner\Omega$ is exact. Clearly $\Xi:\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}/C^{n-1}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow \mathfrak{pp}\mathcal{M}$ is a vector space isomorphism.

Then we define the *internal* $\mathfrak{p}$*-bracket* on $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ by

$$\{a,b\}:=\Xi(b)\lrcorner\Xi(a)\lrcorner\Omega.$$

Lemma 2 *For any* $a,b\in\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$,

$$d\{a,b\}=-[\Xi(a),\Xi(b)]\lrcorner\Omega.$$

Proof Let $\xi_a=\Xi(a)$ and $\xi_b=\Xi(b)$. Then denoting $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}$ the Lie derivative with respect to ξ_a,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}[\xi_a,\xi_b]\lrcorner\Omega & = & \mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}(\xi_b)\lrcorner\Omega\\ & = & \mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}\left(\xi_b\lrcorner\Omega\right)-\xi_b\lrcorner\mathcal{L}_{\xi_a}(\Omega)\\ & = & d(\xi_a\lrcorner\xi_b\lrcorner\Omega)+\xi_a\lrcorner d(\xi_b\lrcorner\Omega)-\xi_b\lrcorner\left(d(\xi_a\lrcorner\Omega)+\xi_a\lrcorner d\Omega\right).\end{array}$$

But since $d\Omega=d(\xi_a\lrcorner\Omega)=d(\xi_b\lrcorner\Omega)=0$, we find that $[\xi_a,\xi_b]\lrcorner\Omega=d(\xi_a\lrcorner\xi_b\lrcorner\Omega)=-d\{a,b\}$. ■

We deduce from this Lemma that $\Xi(\{a,b\})=[\Xi(a),\Xi(b)]$ and hence the map
$\Xi:\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}/C^{n-1}(\mathcal{M})\longrightarrow\mathfrak{pp}\mathcal{M}$ is actually a Lie algebra isomorphism. Notice that the Jacobi identity for the internal $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket modulo exact terms is a consequence of this isomorphism.

We can extend this definition: for any $0\leq p\leq n$ the *external* $\mathfrak{p}$*-bracket* of a p-form $a\in\Gamma(\mathcal{M},\Lambda^pT^\star\mathcal{M})$ with a form $b\in\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\{a,b\}=-\{b,a\}:=-\Xi(b)\lrcorner da.$$

Of course this definition coincides with the previous one when $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$.

Examples of external $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets For any $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$,

$$\{\theta, a\} = -\Xi(a) \lrcorner\, d\theta = -\Xi(a) \lrcorner\, \Omega = da.$$

We can add that it is worthwhile to write in the external $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets of observable forms like q^μ, $q^\mu dq^\nu$, etc ...

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{P_{i,g}, q^\mu\} & = & \Xi(P_{i,g}) \lrcorner\, dq^\mu = g\delta_i^\mu, \\ \{Q^{i,f}, q^\mu\} & = & \Xi(Q^{i,f}) \lrcorner\, dq^\mu = 0 \\ \{P_{i,g}, q^\mu dq^\nu\} & = & \Xi(P_{i,g}) \lrcorner\, dq^\mu \wedge dq^\nu = g\left(\delta_i^\mu dq^\nu - \delta_i^\nu dq^\mu\right). \end{array}$$

Theorem 2 *Let Γ be the graph in $\mathcal{M}$ of a solution of the Hamilton equations (11) and write $\mathcal{U} : x \longmapsto \mathcal{U}(x) = (x, u(x), p(x))$ the natural parametrization of Γ. Then for any form $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$,*

$$\mathbf{d}a = \{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\},$$

where $\mathbf{d}$ is the differential along Γ (meaning that $da_{|\Gamma} = \{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\}_{|\Gamma}$).

Proof We choose an arbitrary open subset $D \in \Gamma$ and denoting $\xi_a = \Xi(a)$, we compute

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle\int_D \{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\} & = & \displaystyle -\int_D \xi_a \lrcorner\, (d\mathcal{H} \wedge \omega) \\ & = & \displaystyle -\int_{\mathcal{U}^{-1}(D)} d\mathcal{H} \wedge \omega \left(\xi_a, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^n}\right)\omega \\ & = & \displaystyle -\int_{\mathcal{U}^{-1}(D)} \left[d\mathcal{H}(\xi_a)\omega\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^n}\right) \right. \\ & & \displaystyle \left. -\sum_{\alpha=1}^n d\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^\alpha}\right)\omega\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^{\alpha-1}}, \xi_\alpha, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^{\alpha+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^n}\right) \right]\omega \\ & = & \displaystyle -\int_{\mathcal{U}^{-1}(D)} \left[d\mathcal{H}(\xi_a) - \sum_{\beta=1}^n \xi_a^\beta d\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^\beta}\right) \right]\omega. \end{array}$$

We use equation (18) and obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle\int_D \{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\} & = & \displaystyle -\int_{\mathcal{U}^{-1}(D)} (-1)^n \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^1 \dots \partial x^n} \lrcorner\, \Omega(\xi_a)\omega \\ & = & \displaystyle -\int_{\mathcal{U}^{-1}(D)} \Omega\left(\xi_a, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}}{\partial x^n}\right)\omega \\ & = & \displaystyle -\int_D \xi_a \lrcorner\, \Omega = \int_D da. \end{array}$$

And the Theorem follows. ∎

Another way to state this result is that

$$\int_D \{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\} = \int_{\partial D} a \tag{25}$$

along any solution of (11).

4.2 Expression of the standard observable quantities

These quantities are integrals of $(n-1)$-forms on hypersurfaces which are thought as "constant time slices", the transversal dimension being then considered as a local time. The target coordinates observables [9] are weighted integrals of the value of the field and are induced by the *"position"* $\mathfrak{p}$*-forms*

$$Q^{i,f} := y^i \sum_\alpha f^\alpha(x)\omega_\alpha = y^i\, f \,\lrcorner\, \omega,$$

where $f = \sum_\alpha f^\alpha(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$ is a tangent vector field on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\omega_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner\, \omega$. The "momentum" and "energy" observables are obtained from the *momentum form*

$$P^\star_{\mu,g} := g(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \lrcorner\, (\theta - \mathcal{H}(q,p)\omega),$$

where g is a smooth function on $\mathcal{X}$. Alternatively we may sometimes prefer to use the $\mathfrak{p}$-forms

$$P_{\mu,g} := g(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \lrcorner\, \theta.$$

For $1 \leq \mu = \alpha \leq n$, $P^\star_{\mu,g} =: H_{\alpha,g}$ generates the components of the Hamiltonian tensor but $P_{\alpha,g}$ (which is different from $P^\star_{\alpha,g}$) does not in general. However the restrictions of $P^\star_{\mu,g}$ and $P_{\mu,g}$ on the hypersurface $\mathcal{H} = 0$ coincide so that if we work on this hypersurface both forms can be used. For $n+1 \leq \mu = n+i \leq n+k$, $P^\star_{\mu,g} = P_{\mu,g} =: P_{i,g}$ generates the momentum components [10].

To check that, we consider a parametrization $\mathcal{U} : x \longmapsto (x, u(x), p(x))$ of some graph Γ and look at the pull-back of these forms by $\mathcal{U}$. We write

---

[9] comparing with the one-dimensional Hamiltonian formalism we can see these target coordinates as generalizations of the position observables.

[10] The advantage of $P_{\mu,g}$ with respect to $P^\star_{\mu,g}$ is that $P_{\mu,g}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ for all values of μ.

$\mathcal{U}^\star P^\star_{\mu,g} = \sum_\beta s^\beta \omega_\beta$, which implies $s^\beta \omega = dx^\beta \wedge \mathcal{U}^\star P^\star_{\mu,g}$ and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} s^\beta &= g(x) \left\langle p, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\beta-1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^{\beta+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{\partial q}{\partial x^n} \right\rangle_{|z=\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}} - g(x)\delta^\beta_\mu \mathcal{H} \\ &= g(x) \frac{\partial \langle p, z\rangle}{\partial z^\mu_\beta}_{|z=\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}} - g(x)\delta^\beta_\mu \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we find that

$$\mathcal{U}^\star H_{\alpha,g} = -g(x) \sum_\beta H^\beta_\alpha(q(x), p(x))\omega_\beta = g(x) \sum_\beta S^\beta_\alpha(x, u(x), du(x))\omega_\beta,$$

$$\mathcal{U}^\star P_{i,g} = g(x) \sum_\beta \frac{\partial \langle p, z\rangle}{\partial z^i_\beta}_{|z=\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}} \omega_\beta = g(x) \sum_\beta \frac{\partial L}{\partial v^i_\beta}(x, u(x), du(x))\omega_\beta.$$

We shall prove below that $P_{\mu,g}$ (and hence $P_{i,g}$) and $Q^{i,f}$ belong to $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$.

4.2.1 Larger classes of observable

These forms, which are enough to translate most of the observable studied in the usual field theory, are embedded in two more general classes of observables the definition of which follows.

Generalised positions (see the footnote 9) For each section of $\Lambda^2 T\mathcal{M}$ (i. e. a 2-vector field) of the form

$$\zeta := \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} \sum_\alpha \zeta^{\mu_\alpha}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_\alpha}},$$

we define the $(n-1)$-form

$$Q^\zeta := \zeta \lrcorner \Omega = \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} \sum_\alpha \zeta^{\mu_\alpha}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_\alpha}} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}} \lrcorner \Omega.$$

An example is for $\zeta = y^i f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$. It gives $Q^{y^i f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} = Q^{i,f}$. We denote $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_Q \mathcal{M}$ the set of such $(n-1)$-forms.

Generalised momenta For each section of $T(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$, i. e. a vector field

$$\xi := \sum_\mu \xi^\mu(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu},$$

we define the $(n-1)$-form

$$P_\xi := \xi \lrcorner \theta = \sum_\mu \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \lrcorner \theta.$$

An example is for $\xi = g(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}$, then we obtain $P_{g(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}} = P_{\mu,g}$. We denote $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_P\mathcal{M}$ the set of such $(n-1)$-forms.

Lemma 3 *All the $(n-1)$-forms defined above are in $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$, precisely*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi(Q^\zeta) &= -\sum_{\mu_1<\dots<\mu_n}\sum_\alpha\sum_\nu \frac{\partial \zeta^\nu_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{\alpha-1}\nu\mu_{\alpha+1}\dots\mu_n}}{\partial q^{\mu_\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}, \\
\Xi(P_\xi) &= \xi - \sum_\mu\sum_\nu \frac{\partial \xi^\mu}{\partial q^\nu}\Pi^\nu_\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Pi^\nu_\mu := \sum_{\mu_1<\dots<\mu_n}\sum_\alpha p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{\alpha-1}\mu\mu_{\alpha+1}\dots\mu_n}\delta^\nu_{\mu_\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}$$

so that

$$dq^\nu \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}\lrcorner\theta = \Pi^\nu_\mu \lrcorner \Omega.$$

Proof Using the relation

$$dq^\nu \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu_\alpha}} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}} \lrcorner \Omega\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{\alpha-1}\nu\mu_{\alpha+1}\dots\mu_n}} \lrcorner \Omega,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
dQ^\zeta &= \sum_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n}\sum_\alpha\sum_\nu \frac{1}{n!}\frac{\partial \zeta^{\mu_\alpha}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}{\partial q^\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{\alpha-1}\nu\mu_{\alpha+1}\dots\mu_n}} \lrcorner \Omega \\
&= \sum_{\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n}\sum_\alpha\sum_\nu \frac{1}{n!}\frac{\partial \zeta^\nu_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{\alpha-1}\nu\mu_{\alpha+1}\dots\mu_n}}{\partial q^{\mu_\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}} \lrcorner \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

And the expression for $\Xi(Q^\zeta)$ follows.

Next we write

$$dP_\xi = \sum_\mu\sum_\nu \frac{\partial \xi^\mu}{\partial q^\nu} dq^\nu \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}\lrcorner\theta - \sum_\mu \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}\lrcorner\Omega$$

and we conclude by computing $dq^\nu \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}\lrcorner\theta$, indeed

$$\begin{array}{rcl} dq^\nu \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \lrcorner\, \theta & = & \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} \sum_\alpha p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} \delta^{\mu_\alpha}_\mu dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_{\alpha-1}} \wedge dq^\nu \wedge dq^{\mu_{\alpha+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_n} \\ & = & \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} \sum_\alpha p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{\alpha-1}\mu\mu_{\alpha+1}\ldots\mu_n} \delta^\nu_{\mu_\alpha} dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_n} \\ & = & \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} \sum_\alpha p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{\alpha-1}\mu\mu_{\alpha+1}\ldots\mu_n} \delta^\nu_{\mu_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}} \lrcorner\, \Omega. \end{array}$$

Hence we deduce the result on P_ξ. ■

Poisson $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets

We are now in position to compute the $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets of these forms. The results are summarized in the following Proposition.

Proposition 1 *The $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets of forms in $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_Q\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}_P\mathcal{M}$ are the following*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{Q^\zeta, Q^{\tilde\zeta}\} & = & 0 \\ \{P_\xi, P_{\tilde\xi}\} & = & P_{[\xi,\tilde\xi]} + d(\tilde\xi \lrcorner\, \xi \lrcorner\, \theta) \\ \{P_\xi, Q^\zeta\} & = & \sum_{\mu_1<\ldots<\mu_n} \sum_\alpha \sum_\mu \sum_\nu \xi^\mu \frac{\partial \zeta^\nu_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{\alpha-1}\nu\mu_{\alpha-1}\ldots\mu_n}}{\partial q^{\mu_\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \lrcorner\, dq^{\mu_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dq^{\mu_n}. \end{array}$$

Proof These results are all straighforward excepted for $\{P_\xi, P_{\tilde\xi}\}$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{L}_{\Xi(P_\xi)}(\theta) & = & \Xi(P_\xi) \lrcorner\, d\theta + d(\Xi(P_\xi) \lrcorner\, \theta) \\ & = & \Xi(P_\xi) \lrcorner\, \Omega + dP_\xi = 0, \end{array} \tag{26}$$

so that $\Xi(P_\xi)$ may be viewed as the extension of ξ to a vector field leaving θ invariant. Now we deduce that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} [\xi, \tilde\xi] \lrcorner\, \theta & = & [\Xi(P_\xi), \Xi(P_{\tilde\xi})] \lrcorner\, \theta \\ & = & \mathcal{L}_{\Xi(P_\xi)}(\Xi(P_{\tilde\xi})) \lrcorner\, \theta \\ & = & \mathcal{L}_{\Xi(P_\xi)}(\Xi(P_{\tilde\xi}) \lrcorner\, \theta) - \Xi(P_{\tilde\xi}) \lrcorner\, \mathcal{L}_{\Xi(P_\xi)}\theta \\ & = & \Xi(P_\xi) \lrcorner\, d(\Xi(P_{\tilde\xi}) \lrcorner\, \theta) + d(\Xi(P_\xi) \lrcorner\, \Xi(P_{\tilde\xi}) \lrcorner\, \theta) - \Xi(P_{\tilde\xi}) \lrcorner\, 0 \\ & = & \Xi(P_\xi) \lrcorner\, dP_{\tilde\xi} + d(\xi \lrcorner\, \tilde\xi \lrcorner\, \theta) \\ & = & -\Xi(P_\xi) \lrcorner\, \Xi(P_{\tilde\xi}) \lrcorner\, \Omega + d(\xi \lrcorner\, \tilde\xi \lrcorner\, \theta) \\ & = & \{P_\xi, P_{\tilde\xi}\} - d(\tilde\xi \lrcorner\, \xi \lrcorner\, \theta) \end{array}$$

And the result follows. ■

4.2.2 Back to the standard observables

As an application of the previous results we can express the pataplectic vector fields associated to $Q^{i,f}$ and $P_{\mu,g}$ and their $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets. For that purpose, it is useful to introduce other notations:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \epsilon & := & p_{1\dots n} \\ p_i^\alpha & := & p_{1\dots(\alpha-1)(n+i)(\alpha+1)\dots n} \\ p_{i_1 i_2}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} & := & p_{1\dots(\alpha_1-1)(n+i_1)(\alpha_1+1)\dots(\alpha_2-1)(n+i_2)(\alpha_2+1)\dots n} \\ & & \text{etc}\dots \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \omega_\alpha^i & := & dy^i \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \lrcorner\, \omega\right) =: (dy^i \wedge \partial_\alpha) \lrcorner\, \omega \\ \omega_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^{i_1 i_2} & := & (dy^{i_1} \wedge \partial_{\alpha_1}) \lrcorner\, (dy^{i_2} \wedge \partial_{\alpha_2}) \lrcorner\, \omega \\ & & \text{etc}\dots \end{array}$$

in such a way that

$$\theta = \epsilon\, \omega + \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p!^2} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_p;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p} p_{i_1\dots i_p}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} \omega_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p}^{i_1\dots i_p}.$$

(Notice that the Weyl theory corresponds to the assumption that $p_{i_1\dots 1_p}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} = 0$, $\forall p \geq 2$.) We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} dQ^{i,f} & = & \displaystyle\sum_\alpha f^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^\alpha} \lrcorner\, \Omega + y^i \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \lrcorner\, \Omega, \\ dP_{\mu,g} & = & \displaystyle\sum_\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \Pi_\mu^\alpha \lrcorner\, \Omega - g \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \lrcorner\, \Omega, \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Pi_\beta^\alpha & = & \displaystyle\delta_\beta^\alpha \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} + \sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p!^2} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_p;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p} \left(p_{i_1\dots i_p}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} \delta_\beta^\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{p} p_{i_1\dots i_{j-1}\ i_j\, i_{j+1}\dots i_n}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{j-1}\,\alpha\,\alpha_{j+1}\dots\alpha_n} \delta_\beta^{\alpha_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i_1\dots i_p}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p}} \\ \Pi_{n+i}^\alpha & = & \displaystyle\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{p!^2} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_p;\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p} p_{ii_1\dots i_p}^{\alpha\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i_1\dots i_p}^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_p}}. \end{array}$$

The pataplectic vector fields are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Xi(Q^{i,f}) & = & \displaystyle -\sum_\alpha f^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^\alpha} - y^i \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial f^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \\ \Xi(P_{\mu,g}) & = & \displaystyle g \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} - \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \Pi_\mu^\alpha. \end{array}$$

Finally by using Proposition 1, the Poisson $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets will be

$$\begin{aligned}
\{Q^{i,f}, Q^{j,\tilde{f}}\} &= 0, \\
\{P_{i,g}, P_{j,\tilde{g}}\} &= d\left(g\tilde{g}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \lrcorner \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \lrcorner \theta\right), \\
\{P_{i,g}, Q^{j,f}\} &= \delta_i^j \sum_\alpha f^\alpha g \omega_\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence if g and $\tilde{g}$ have compact support, we obtain that on any submanifold S of dimension $n-1$ without boundary,

$$\int_S \{Q^{i,f}, Q^{j,\tilde{f}}\} = \int_S \{P_{i,g}, P_{j,\tilde{g}}\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_S \{P_{i,g}, Q^{j,f}\} = \delta_i^j \int_S \sum_\alpha f^\alpha g \omega_\alpha$$

4.3 The ω-bracket

The $\mathfrak{p}$-brackets defined above does not allow us to express the dynamics of an observable which is not in $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$. Here is a construction *ad hoc* of a bracket which induces the dynamics of forms of arbitrary degree. In contrast with the $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket, which depends only on Ω the following bracket relies also on the volume form ω.

Let X be some section of the bundle $\Lambda^n T\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$, such that X is Hamiltonian everywhere, i. e. $(-1)^n X \lrcorner \Omega = d\mathcal{H} \bmod \mathcal{I}$. For any integer $1 \leq p \leq n$ and any form $\lambda \in \Lambda^{p-1}T^\star\mathcal{M}$ we define $X\sharp\lambda \in \Lambda^p T^\star\mathcal{M}$ by

$$X\sharp\lambda := \sum_{\alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_{n-p}} X \lrcorner \left(dx^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n-p}} \wedge d\lambda\right) \partial_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n-p}} \lrcorner \omega, \qquad (27)$$

where $\partial_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n-p}} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha_1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha_{n-p}}}$, $\partial_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n-p}} \lrcorner \omega(V_1, \ldots, V_p) = \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha_1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha_{n-p}}}, V_1, \ldots, V_p\right)$ and $dx^{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n-p}} := dx^{\alpha_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{\alpha_{n-p}}$ [11].

Definition 4 *The $(p-1)$-form λ is* admissible *if and only if, for any $\mathcal{H}$ and for any $\mathcal{H}$-Hamiltonian n-vector field X, $X\sharp\lambda$ does not depend on the choice of X, but only on $\mathcal{H}$. If λ is admissible, we denote*

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, \lambda\}_\omega := X\sharp\lambda,$$

and name this a ω-bracket.

---

[11] we could meet situations (as in Section 5) where the volume form on $\mathcal{X}$ has been replaced by $\tilde{\omega} := g dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^n = g\omega$, where g is a smooth positive function on $\mathcal{X}$. This lead to replacing the Cartan form by $\tilde{\theta} := g\theta$ and the pataplectic form by $\tilde{\Omega} := d(g\Omega)$. Then we can define $\tilde{X}\sharp\lambda$ by the same formula as (27), where ω and X are replaced by $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{X}$ respectively. And we can check that $\tilde{X}\sharp\lambda = X\sharp\lambda$.

Examples The 0-form y^i and the 1-form $y^i dy^j$ are admissible and

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, y^i\}_\omega = \sum_\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i^\alpha} dx^\alpha,$$

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, y^i dy^j\}_\omega = \sum_{\alpha<\beta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta.$$

More generally, all forms in $\Lambda^\star T^\star(\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$ ("space-time" and "position" observables) are admissible. We shall also see below that the forms $Q^{i,f}$ and $P_{i,g}$ in $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ are admissible but not $P_{\alpha,g}$.

Lemma 4 *Let Γ be the graph of $x \longmapsto (q(x), p(x))$, a solution of the Hamilton equations (14) and let λ be some admissible p-form. Then $d\lambda$ coincides with $\{\mathcal{H}\omega, \lambda\}_\omega$ along Γ, i. e.*

$$d\lambda_{|\Gamma} = \{\mathcal{H}\omega, \lambda\}_{\omega|\Gamma}.$$

Proof Let us denote $X = \frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(x^1,\dots,x^n)}$. For $p = n-1$ this identity is obvious because

$$d\lambda_{|\Gamma} = (X \lrcorner\, d\lambda)\omega = X\sharp\lambda. \tag{28}$$

For $p < n-1$ we have, using (28),

$$\begin{aligned}
d\lambda_{|\Gamma} &= \sum_{\alpha_1<\dots<\alpha_{n-p}} \partial_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \lrcorner\, (dx^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \wedge d\lambda_{|\Gamma}) \\
&= (-1)^{n-p} \sum_{\alpha_1<\dots<\alpha_{n-p}} \partial_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \lrcorner\, d(dx^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \wedge \lambda)_{|\Gamma} \\
&= (-1)^{n-p} \sum_{\alpha_1<\dots<\alpha_{n-p}} \partial_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \lrcorner\, (X \lrcorner\, d(dx^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \wedge \lambda)\omega) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_1<\dots<\alpha_{n-p}} \left(\partial_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \lrcorner\, \omega\right) (X \lrcorner\, (dx^{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{n-p}} \wedge d\lambda)) \\
&= X\sharp\lambda_{|\Gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

This achieves the proof. ■

Natural problems are to characterize the $(n-1)$-forms in $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ which are admissible and to compare the $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket and the ω-bracket in cases where they exist simultaneously. The answers are in the following.

Proposition 2 (i) *A form a in $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ is admissible if and only if*

$$dx^\beta(\Xi(a)) = 0, \quad \forall \beta = 1, \dots, n. \tag{29}$$

(As a consequence, examples of such forms are $Q^{i,f}$*,* $P_{i,g}$ *but not* $P_{\alpha,g}$ [12]*.)*
(ii) *For any admissible form* $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$*,*

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\} = \{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\}_\omega. \tag{30}$$

Proof (i) Let $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$, assume that a is admissible and denote $\xi_a = \Xi(a)$. Choose any decomposable $\mathcal{H}$-Hamiltonian $X = X_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge X_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} X \sharp a &= (X \mathbin{\lrcorner} da)\omega = -(X \mathbin{\lrcorner} \xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} \Omega)\omega \\ &= -(-1)^n(\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} X \mathbin{\lrcorner} \Omega)\omega \\ &= -\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} \left(d\mathcal{H} - \textstyle\sum_\beta d\mathcal{H}\,(X_\beta)\, dx^\beta\right) \omega, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 1 for the last equality. We set $\xi_a = \sum_\beta \xi_a^\beta \partial_\beta + \sum_i \xi_a^i \partial_i + \sum_{\mu_1 < \ldots < \mu_n} \xi_{a,\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} \partial^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}$, then we see that

$$X \sharp a = \sum_\beta \xi_a^\beta \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\beta} + \sum_i \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y^i} X_\beta^i + \sum_{\mu_1 < \ldots < \mu_n} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}} X_{\beta,\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} \right) \omega - (\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} d\mathcal{H})\omega.$$

Since $X_{\beta,\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}$ depends on the choice of X, we conclude that we must have $\xi_a^\beta = 0$, i. e. (29) holds. Conversely if (29) is true, then for any $\mathcal{H}$-Hamiltonian n-vector X (not necessarily decomposable) $X \sharp a = -(-1)^n(\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} X \mathbin{\lrcorner} \Omega)\omega$, and according to (15) we deduce that $X \sharp a = -\left(\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} d\mathcal{H}\right)\omega$, an expression which does not depend on the choice of X.

(ii) A consequence of the above calculation is that if $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ is admissible then

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\}_\omega = -(\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} d\mathcal{H})\omega.$$

Now (30) follows easily from

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\} = -\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} d(\mathcal{H}\omega) = -\xi_a \mathbin{\lrcorner} d\mathcal{H} \wedge \omega$$

and condition (29). ■

Remark 1 *It appears that it will be interesting to study solutions of the Hamilton equations with the constraint* $\mathcal{H} = 0$*. This is possible, because of the freedom left in the Legendre correspondance, thanks to the parameter* ϵ*. The advantage is that then the energy-momentum observables are described by* $P_{a,g}$ *which belongs to* $\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$*.*

---

[12] Forms like $g(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \mathbin{\lrcorner} \theta$ are not admissible. However for any $a \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}$ and for any solution $x \longmapsto (q(x), p(x))$ of the Hamilton equation the restriction of $\{\mathcal{H}\omega, a\}$ on the graph coincide with the restriction of $\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(x^1,\ldots,x^n)} \sharp a$.

4.4 Noether theorem

It is natural to relate the Noether theorem to the pataplectic structure.

Let ξ be a tangent vector field on $\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}$, ξ will be an infinitesimal symmetry of the variational problem if

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Xi(P_\xi)}\left(\theta - \mathcal{H}\omega\right) = 0,$$

since then the integral $\int_\Gamma \theta - \mathcal{H}\omega$ is invariant under the action of the flow of ξ. Then for any solution $x \longmapsto (U(x), p(x))$, of the Hamilton equations, the form $P_\xi^\star$ is closed along the graph of this solution. This means that if Γ is the graph of (U, p),

$$dP^\star_{\xi|\Gamma} = d\left(\xi \,\lrcorner\, (\theta - \mathcal{H}\omega)\right)_{|\Gamma} = 0.$$

This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and of the following calculation.

Lemma 5 *For any section ξ of $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}, T(\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}))$, we have the relation*

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_\xi\} = \mathcal{L}_{\Xi(P_\xi)}\left(\theta - \mathcal{H}\omega\right) + d\left(\xi \,\lrcorner\, \mathcal{H}\omega\right). \tag{31}$$

Proof Using the definition of $\{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_\xi\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\Xi(P_\xi)}\left(\theta - \mathcal{H}\omega\right) &= \Xi(P_\xi)\,\lrcorner\,(d\theta - d\mathcal{H}\wedge\omega) + d\left(\Xi(P_\xi)\,\lrcorner\,(\theta - \mathcal{H}\omega)\right) \\ &= \Xi(P_\xi)\,\lrcorner\,\Omega - \Xi(P_\xi)\,\lrcorner\, d\mathcal{H}\wedge\omega + d\left(\xi\,\lrcorner\,\theta - \xi\,\lrcorner\,\mathcal{H}\omega\right) \\ &= -dP_\xi + \{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_\xi\} + d\left(P_\xi - \xi\,\lrcorner\,\mathcal{H}\omega\right), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. ■

Remark 2 *As a consequence of these observations it is clear that on the submanifold $\mathcal{H} = 0$, the set of Noether currents can be identified with $\mathfrak{P}_P^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$. So we can interpret the results of Proposition 1 concerning $\mathfrak{P}_P^{n-1}\mathcal{M}$ by saying that the set of Noether currents equipped with the $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket is a representation modulo exact terms of the Lie algebra of vector fields on $\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}$ with the Lie bracket. We recover thus various constructions of brackets on Noether currents (see for instance [32]).*

5 Examples

We present here some examples from the mathematical Physics in order to illustrate our formalism. We shall see that, by allowing variants of the above theory, one can find formalisms which are more adapted to some special situations.

5.1 Interacting scalar fields

As the simplest example, consider a system of interacting scalar fields $\{\phi^1, \dots, \phi^k\}$ on an oriented (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{X}, g)$. One should keep in mind that $\mathcal{X}$ is a four-dimensional space-time and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is a Minkowski metric. These fields can be seen as a map ϕ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathbb{R}^k$ with its standard Euclidian structure. The metric g on $\mathcal{X}$ induces a volume form which reads in local coordinates

$$\omega := g\, dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n, \qquad \text{where } g := \sqrt{|\mathrm{det} g_{\alpha\beta}(x)|}.$$

Let $V : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be the interaction potential of the fields, then the Lagrangian density is

$$L(x, \phi, d\phi) := \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x^\beta} - V(\phi(x)).$$

Here $\phi_i = \phi^i$ and we assume that we sum over all repeated indices. Alternatively one could work with the volume form being $dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$ and the Lagrangian density being gL, in order to apply directly the theory constructed in the previous sections. But we shall not choose this approach here and use a variant which makes clear the covariance of the problem.

We restrict to the Weyl theory, i. e. we work on the the submanifold $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}$, as in subsection 2.7. So we introduce the momentum variables ϵ and p_i^α and we start from the Cartan form

$$\theta = \epsilon\, \omega + p_i^\alpha d\phi^i \wedge \omega_\alpha,$$

where $\omega_\alpha := \partial_\alpha \lrcorner\, \omega$. But here ω_α is not closed in general (because g is not constant), so

$$\Omega = d\theta = d\epsilon \wedge \omega + dp_i^\alpha \wedge d\phi^i \wedge \omega_\alpha - p_i^\alpha \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} d\phi^i \wedge \omega.$$

The Legendre transform is given by

$$p_i^\alpha = \frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\alpha \phi^i)} = g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial x^\beta} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial x^\alpha} = g_{\alpha\beta} p_i^\beta,$$

and the Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}(x, \phi, p) = \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} p_i^\alpha p_i^\beta + V(\phi).$$

We use as conjugate variables the 0-forms ϕ^i and the $(n-1)$-forms

$$P_{i,f} := f(x) p_i^\alpha \omega_\alpha = f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^i} \lrcorner\, \theta \ \in \mathfrak{P}^{n-1} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{Weyl}}.$$

Taking account of the fact that ω_α is not closed, one find

$$\Xi(P_{i,f}) = f\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi^i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha}p_i^\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}$$

and

$$\{P_{i,f},\phi^j\} = \Xi(P_{i,f}) \lrcorner\, d\phi^j = f\delta_i^j.$$

Also (see the footnote 11)

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{H}\omega,\phi^i\}_\omega &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial p_i^\alpha}dx^\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}p_i^\beta dx^\alpha \\ \{\mathcal{H}\omega,P_{i,f}\} &= -\Xi(P_{i,f}) \lrcorner\, d(\mathcal{H}\omega) = \left(-f\frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi^i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha}p_i^\alpha\right)\omega. \end{aligned}$$

And the dynamical equations are that along the graph of a solution,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}\phi^i &= \{\mathcal{H}\omega,\phi^i\}_\omega &&= g_{\alpha\beta}p_i^\beta dx^\alpha \\ \mathbf{d}(fp_i^\alpha\omega_\alpha) &= \{\mathcal{H}\omega,P_{i,f}\} &&= \left(-f\frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi^i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\alpha}p_i^\alpha\right)\omega. \end{aligned}$$

The second equation gives

$$\frac{f}{g}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha}p_i^\alpha + g\frac{\partial p_i^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} + g\frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi^i}\right) = 0, \tag{32}$$

while the first relation gives $\frac{\partial\phi^i}{\partial x^\alpha} = g_{\alpha\beta}p_i^\beta$. By substitution in (32) we find

$$\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(g\, g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial\phi^i}{\partial x^\beta}\right) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi^i} = 0,$$

the Euler-Lagrange equations of the problem.

5.2 The conformal string theory

We consider maps u from a two-dimensional (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{X},g)$ with values in another (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{Y},h)$ of arbitrary dimension. The most general bosonic action for such maps is $\mathcal{L}[u] := \int_\mathcal{X} L(x,u,du)\omega$ with $\omega := g(x)dx^1\wedge dx^2$ and $g(x) := \sqrt{|\text{det}g_{\alpha\beta}(x)|}$ as before, and

$$L(x,u,du) := \frac{1}{2}\left(h_{ij}(u(x))g^{\alpha\beta}(x) + b_{ij}(u(x))\frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{g(x)}\right)\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^\beta},$$

where $b := \sum_{i<j} b_{ij}(y)dy^i\wedge dy^j$ is a given two-form on $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\epsilon^{12} = -\epsilon^{21} = 1$, $\epsilon^{11} = \epsilon^{22} = 0$. Hence

$$\mathcal{L}[u] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} h_{ij}(u) g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^\beta} \omega + u^\star b.$$

Setting

$$G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y) := h_{ij}(y) g^{\alpha\beta}(x) + b_{ij}(y) \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}}{g(x)} = G_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}(x,y),$$

we see that $L(x,u,du) = \frac{1}{2} G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,u) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^\beta}$ and the Euler-Lagrange equation for this functional is

$$\frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left(g \, G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u(x)) \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^\beta} \right) = \frac{\partial G_{jk}^{\beta\gamma}}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^\beta} \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^\gamma}. \tag{33}$$

More covariant formulations exists for the case $b = 0$, which correspond to the harmonic map equation or when the metric on $\mathcal{X}$ is Riemannian using conformal coordinates and complex variables (see [28]). The Cartan-Poincaré form on $\mathcal{M}$ is

$$\theta := \epsilon \, \omega + \sum_{\alpha,i} p_i^\alpha \omega_\alpha^i + \sum_{i<j} p_{ij} \omega_{12}^{ij},$$

(where $\omega_1^i = g \;\, dy^i \wedge dx^2$, $\omega_2^i = g \;\, dx^1 \wedge dy^i$ and $\omega_{12}^{ij} = g \;\, dy^i \wedge dy^j$). The pataplectic form is

$$\Omega = d\theta = d\epsilon \wedge \omega + \sum_{\alpha,i} dp_i^\alpha \wedge \omega_\alpha^i + \sum_{i<j} dp_{ij} \wedge \omega_{12}^{ij} - \sum_{\alpha,i} \frac{p_i^\alpha}{g} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} dy^i \wedge \omega + \sum_{i<j} \sum_\alpha p_{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} dx^\alpha \wedge dy^i \wedge dy^j.$$

The Legendre correspondance is generated by the function

$$W(x,u,v,p) := \epsilon + p_i^\alpha v_\alpha^i + p_{ij} v_1^i v_2^j - L(x,u,v) = \epsilon + p_i^\alpha v_\alpha^i - \frac{1}{2} M_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y,p) v_\alpha^i v_\beta^j,$$

where we have denoted

$$M_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y,p) := h_{ij}(y) g^{\alpha\beta}(x) + \left(\frac{b_{ij}(y)}{g(x)} - p_{ij} \right) \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y) - p_{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}.$$

This correspondance is given by the relation $\frac{\partial W}{\partial v_\alpha^i} = 0$ which gives

$$M_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x,y,p) v_\beta^j = p_i^\alpha. \tag{34}$$

Thus, given (x,y,p), finding (x,y,v,w) such that $(x,u,v,w) \leftrightarrow (x,y,p)$ amounts to solving first the linear system (34) for v and then w is just $W(x,y,v,p)$.

This system has a solution in general in the open subset $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ on which the matrix

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{cc} h_{ij}(y)g^{11}(x) & h_{ij}(y)g^{12}(x) + \frac{b_{ij}(y)}{g(x)} - p_{ij} \\ h_{ij}(y)g^{21}(x) - \frac{b_{ij}(y)}{g(x)} + p_{ij} & h_{ij}(y)g^{22}(x) \end{array} \right)$$

is invertible. We remark that $\mathcal{O}$ contains actually the submanifold $\mathcal{R} := \{(x,y,p) \in \mathcal{M}/g(x)p_{ij} = b_{ij}(y)\}$, so that the Legendre correspondance induces a diffeomorphism between $T\mathcal{Y} \otimes T^\star\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{R}$.

We shall need to define on $\mathcal{O}$ the inverse of M, i. e. $K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(x,y,p)$ such that

$$K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(x,y,p)M^{\beta\gamma}_{jk}(x,y,p) = \delta^i_k\delta^\gamma_\alpha. \tag{35}$$

Now we can express the solution of (34) by

$$v^i_\alpha = K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(x,y,p)p^\beta_j \tag{36}$$

and the Hamiltonian function is

$$\mathcal{H}(x,y,p) := \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}(x,y,p)p^\alpha_i p^\beta_j.$$

We use as conjugate variables the position functions y^i and the momentum 1-forms

$$P_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \lrcorner\, \theta = p^\alpha_i \omega_\alpha + g\ p_{ij}dy^j.$$

The Poisson brackets are computed as follows. First, in order to obtain $\{\mathcal{H}\omega, y^i\}_\omega$, we need to charaterize some relevant components of a given Hamiltonian 2-vector field. Let X be such a 2-vector field, writing

$$X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + X^i_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} + X^i_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + \text{ etc } \dots,$$

we deduce from $X \lrcorner\, \Omega = d\mathcal{H} \bmod \mathcal{I}$ that

$$g\ X^i_\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha_i}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X \sharp y^i & = & (X \lrcorner\, dx^1 \wedge dy^i)\omega_1 + (X \lrcorner\, dx^2 \wedge dy^i)\omega_2 \\ & = & g\ X^i_\alpha dx^\alpha = \dfrac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p^\alpha_i} dx^\alpha \\ & = & K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} p^\beta_j dx^\alpha. \end{array}$$

Hence y^i is admissible and

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, y^i\}_\omega = K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} p^\beta_j dx^\alpha.$$

Next we compute dP_i:

$$\begin{aligned} dP_i &= dp^\alpha_i \wedge \omega_\alpha + g\, dp_{ij} \wedge dy^j + p^\alpha_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\omega}{g} + p_{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^\alpha} dx^\alpha \wedge dy^j \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \lrcorner \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Xi(P_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}.$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \{P_i, y^j\} &= \Xi(P_i) \lrcorner dy^j = \delta^j_i \\ \{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_i\} &= -\Xi(P_i) \lrcorner d(\mathcal{H}\omega) = -\frac{\partial K^{jk}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y^i} p^\alpha_j p^\beta_k \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, because of (35),

$$\frac{\partial K^{jk}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y^i} = -K^{jl}_{\alpha\gamma} \frac{\partial M^{\gamma\delta}_{lm}}{\partial y^i} K^{mk}_{\delta\beta},$$

and thus

$$\{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_i\} = \frac{\partial M^{\gamma\delta}_{lm}}{\partial y^i} K^{jl}_{\alpha\gamma} K^{mk}_{\delta\beta} p^\alpha_j p^\beta_k \omega.$$

The equations of motion are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}y^i &= \{\mathcal{H}\omega, y^i\}_\omega &= K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} p^\beta_j dx^\alpha \\ \mathbf{d}P_i &= \{\mathcal{H}\omega, P_i\} &= \frac{\partial M^{\gamma\delta}_{lm}}{\partial y^i} K^{jl}_{\alpha\gamma} K^{mk}_{\delta\beta} p^\alpha_j p^\beta_k \omega, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

along the graph Γ of any solution of the Hamilton equations. From the first equation we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\alpha} = K^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} p^\beta_j \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p^\alpha_i = M^{\alpha\beta}_{ij} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\beta}. \tag{38}$$

Now using (38) we see that along Γ,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{i|\Gamma} &= (p_i^\alpha \omega_\alpha + g\; p_{ij} dy^j)_{|\Gamma} \\
&= \left(M_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\beta} + p_{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\beta} \right) \omega_\alpha \\
&= G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\beta} \omega_\alpha = \left(h_{ij} g^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{b_{ij}}{g} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \right) \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\beta} \omega_\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

and so the left hand side of the second equation of (37) is

$$dP_{i|\Gamma} = \frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left[g\; G_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\beta} \right] \omega.$$

And still using (38) the right hand side of the second equation of (37) along Γ is

$$\frac{\partial M_{jk}^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial y^j}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial x^\beta} \omega.$$

Hence we recover the Euler-Lagrange equation (33).

6 Conclusion

We obtained an Hamiltonian formulation for variational problems with an arbitrary number of variables. This could be the starting point for building a fully relativistic quantum field theory without requiring the space-time to be Minkowskian. This will be the subject of a forthcoming paper, where also a $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket will be defined between forms of arbitrary degrees. Notice also that we may enlarge the concept of pataplectic manifolds as manifolds equipped with a closed n-form and extend to this context notions like the $\mathfrak{p}$-bracket.

Acknowledgements
We thank G. Sardanashvily for bringing to our attention the papers [15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23]

References

[1] Th. De Donder, *Théorie Invariante du Calcul des Variations*, Nuov. éd. (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1935)

[2] H. Weyl, *Geodesic fields in the calculus of variations,* Ann. Math. (2) 36 (1935) 607-629

[3] C. Carathéodory, *Über die Extremalen und geodätischen Felder in der Variationsrechnung der mehrfachen Integrale,* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 4 (1929) 193-216

[4] H. Rund, *The Hamilton-Jacobi Theory in the Calculus of Variations*, (D. van Nostrand Co. Ltd., Toronto, etc. 1966) (Revised and augmented reprint, Krieger Publ., New York, 1973)

[5] H. Kastrup, *Canonical theories of Lagrangian dynamical systems in physics,* Phys. Rep. 101 (1983) 1-167

[6] E. Binz, J. Śniatycki and H. Fisher, *Geometry of Classical Fields,* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989)

[7] M.J. Gotay, *An exterior differential systems approach to the Cartan form,* in *Symplectic Geometry and Mathematical Physics,* eds. P. Donato, C. Duval, e.a. (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991) p. 160-188

[8] M.J. Gotay, *A multisymplectic framework for classical field theory and the calculus of variations I. Covariant Hamiltonian formalism,* in *Mechanics. Analysis and Geometry: 200 Years after Lagrange*, ed. M. Francaviglia (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1991) p. 203-235

[9] M.J. Gotay, *A multisymplectic framework for classical field theory and the calculus of variations II. Space + time decomposition,* Diff. Geom. and its Appl. 1 (1991) 375-390

[10] S. P. Hrabak *On a multisymplectic formulation of the classical BRST symmetry for first order field theories PartII: Geometric Structure*, arXiv: math-ph/9901013

[11] S. P. Hrabak *On a multisymplectic formulation of the classical BRST symmetry for first order field theories PartII: Geometric Structure*, arXiv: math-ph/9901012

[12] I. V. Kanatchikov *Canonical structure of classical field theory in the polymomentum phase space*, arXiv:hep-th/9709229

[13] I. V. Kanatchikov, *On the canonical structure of the De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamiltonian formulation of field theory I. Graded Poisson brakets and the equation of motion*, hep-th/9312162

[14] M.J. Gotay, J. Isenberg, J. Marsden and R. Montgomery, *Momentum Maps and Classical Relativistic Fields*, arXiv:physics/9801019

[15] A.Echeverria-Enriquez, M.Munoz-Lecanda, N.Roman-Roy, *Geometry of multisymplectic Hamiltonian first-order field theories* , math-ph/0004005

[16] C.Paufler, *A vertical exterior derivative in multisymplectic geometry and a graded Poisson bracket for nontrivial geometries*, math-ph/0002032

[17] A.Echeverria-Enriquez, M.Munoz-Lecanda, N.Roman-Roy, *On the multimomentum bundles and the Legendre maps in field theories*, Rep. Math. Phys., v. 45 (2000) 85, math-ph/9904007

[18] A.Echeverria-Enriquez, M.Munoz-Lecanda, N.Roman-Roy, *Multivector field formulation of Hamiltonian field theories*, J.Phys.A, v.32 (1999) 8461, math-ph/9907007

[19] G.Sardanashvily, *SUSY-extended field theory*, hep-th/9911108 (appear in Int.J.Mod.Phys. A (2000))

[20] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti, G.Sardanashvily, *Covariant Hamilton equations for field theory*, J.Phys.A, v.32 (1999) 6629, hep-th/9904062

[21] G.Sardanashvily, *Generalized Hamiltonian Formalism for Field Theory*, ed. World Scientific, Singapore, 1995

[22] L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *Gauge Mechanics*, ed. World Scientific, Singapore, 1998

[23] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *New Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Methods in Field Theory*, ed. World Scientific, Singapore, 1997

[24] H. Rund, *A Cartan form for the field theory of Carathéodory in the calculus of variations of multiple integrals*, in *Differential Geometry, Calculus of Variations and Their Applications*, Lect. Notes Pure and Appl. Math. vol. 100, ed. G.M. Rassias and T.M. Rassias, (Marcel Dekker etc., 1985) p. 455-469

[25] D. H. Martin, *Canonical variables and geodesic fields for the calculus of variations of multiple integrals in parametric form*, Math. Z. 104 (1968), 16-27.

[26] H. Goldschmidt and S. Sternberg, *The Hamilton-Cartan formalism in the calculus of variations,* Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)23, fasc. 1 (1973) 203-267

[27] J. Kijowski, *A finite dimensional canonical formalism in the classical field theory,* Comm. Math. Phys. 30 (1973) 99-128;

J. Kijowski and W. Szczyrba, *A Canonical Structure for Classical Field Theories,* Comm. Math. Phys. 46 (1976) 183-206

[28] F. Hélein, *Problèmes variationnels invariants par transformation conforme en dimension 2*, to appear in *Partial differential equations and variational calculus in Physics*, ed. Joseph Kouneiher.

[29] K. Gawędzki, *On the generalization of the canonical formalism in the classical field theory,* Rep. Math. Phys. 3 (1972) 307-326;

[30] P. Dedecker, *Calcul des variations, formes différentielles et champs géodésiques,* in *Géometrie Differentielle,* Colloq. Intern. du CNRS LII, Strasbourg 1953, (Publ. du CNRS, Paris, 1953) p. 17-34

[31] P. Dedecker, *On the generalization of symplectic geometry to multiple integrals in the calculus of variations,* in *Differential Geometrical Methods in Mathematical Physics,* eds. K. Bleuler and A. Reetz, Lect. Notes Math. vol. 570 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1977) p. 395-456

[32] P. Deligne, D. Freed, *Classical field theory*, in *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Volume 1*, P. Deligne, P. Etingof, D.S. Freed, L.C. Jeffrey, D. Kahzdan, J.W. Morgan, D.R. Morrison and E. Witten, editors, American Mathematical Society, 1999.